VIRGINIA INDEPENDENCE PROGRAM

MONTHLY REPORT

Including Graphs of Statewide Trends

October 2008

Monthly Report, October 2008

Table of Contents

Work Participation Estimates (from the VDSS data warehouse) (A work participation estimate percent should not be compared to a percent in a work activity in the main body of the report which includes only clients in VIEW and does not consider the number of hours in a work activity during a month)	i-vi
TANF, TANF-UP Case Activity	1
Graphs of Case Activity	at end
VIEW Work Activity	
Statewide	4
Graphs of Statewide Activity	at end
EDD1	8
EDD2	9
EDD3	10
EDD4	11
EDD5 & EDD18	12
EDD6	13
EDD7	14
EDD8	15
EDD9	16
EDD10	17
EDD11	18
EDD14	19
EDD1	20
EDD3	21
EDD11	22
EDD15	23
EDD17	24
VIEW Year to Date Rankings	25-27
VIEW Cumulative Rankings	28-30
VIEW Timeliness Measures	31-39
Child Support Enforcement	40
Diversion	41
VIEW Definition Sheet and Endnotes	42-44

These are estimated locality October 2008 work participation rates that include clients receiving either TANF or VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). The official participation rate will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 46% with a denominator of 16,546 and a numerator of 7,665.

FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation	FIPS	5	Denom	ı- Num-	Particip- ation
Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent	Cod	Locali	ty inator	erator	Percent
001	Accomack	89	30	34%	061	Fauquier	75	38	51%
003	Albemarle	85	46	54%	063	Floyd	18	6	33%
005	Alleghany/Covington	76	26	34%	065	Fluvanna	13	4	31%
007	Amelia	26	5	19%	067	Franklin Cou	inty 96	41	43%
009	Amherst	37	19	51%	069	Frederick	75	38	51%
011	Appomattox	34	21	62%	071	Giles	19	9	47%
013	Arlington	128	54	42%	073	Gloucester	50	18	36%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	293	138	47%	075	Goochland	13	3	23%
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	16	7	44%
019	Bedford County/City	95	56	59%	079	Greene	25	6	24%
021	Bland	13	5	38%	081	Greensville/E	Imporia 77	30	39%
023	Botetourt	9	2	22%	083	Halifax	99	27	27%
025	Brunswick	73	47	64%	085	Hanover	85	34	40%
027	Buchanan	61	19	31%	087	Henrico	691	327	47%
029	Buckingham	36	13	36%	089	Henry/Martir	isville 283	132	47%
031	Campbell	133	59	44%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	95	23	24%	093	Isle of Wight	85	44	52%
035	Carroll	55	31	56%	095	James City	48	20	42%
036	Charles City	9	2	22%	097	King and Qu	een 13	4	31%
037	Charlotte	22	10	45%	099	King George	15	9	60%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	426	207	49%	101	King William	16	7	44%
043	Clarke	10	4	40%	103	Lancaster	19	5	26%
045	Craig	2	1	50%	105	Lee	109	49	45%
047	Culpeper	72	37	51%	107	Loudoun	175	84	48%
049	Cumberland	36	16	44%	109	Louisa	34	17	50%
051	Dickensen	48	13	27%	111	Lunenburg	20	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	46	19	41%	113	Madison	18	8	44%
057	Essex	44	23	52%	115	Mathews	10	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	604	299	50%	117	Mecklenburg	88	39	44%

Estimated Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation	FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation
Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent	Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent
119	Middlesex	12	2	17%	187	Warren	67	35	52%
121	Montgomery	180	95	53%	191	Washington	85	41	48%
125	Nelson	19	11	58%	193	Westmoreland	34	16	47%
127	New Kent	14	6	43%	195	Wise	203	72	35%
131	Northampton	45	10	22%	197	Wythe	71	26	37%
133	Northumberland	10	1	10%	199	York/Poquoson	39	18	46%
135	Nottoway	77	8	10%	510	Alexandria	248	123	50%
137	Orange	29	14	48%	520	Bristol	136	68	50%
139	Page	29	7	24%	540	Charlottesville	180	94	52%
141	Patrick	72	35	49%	550	Chesapeake	610	266	44%
143	Pittsylvania	84	34	40%	590	Danville	223	98	44%
145	Powhatan	17	5	29%	620	Franklin City	36	18	50%
147	Prince Edward	85	43	51%	630	Fredericksburg	105	61	58%
149	Prince George	45	17	38%	640	Galax	47	18	38%
153	Prince William	637	333	52%	650	Hampton	623	273	44%
155	Pulaski	82	36	44%	670	Hopewell	197	71	36%
157	Rappahannock	9	2	22%	680	Lynchburg	278	127	46%
159	Richmond County	23	8	35%	683	Manassas	83	41	49%
161	Roanoke County	136	73	54%	685	Manassas Park	22	10	45%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	37	8	22%	700	Newport News	862	414	48%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	132	75	57%	710	Norfolk	1,035	580	56%
167	Russell	101	38	38%	720	Norton	38	16	42%
169	Scott	92	34	37%	730	Petersburg	321	106	33%
171	Shenandoah	25	6	24%	740	Portsmouth	664	319	48%
173	Smyth	89	32	36%	750	Radford	36	19	53%
175	Southampton	52	15	29%	760	Richmond City	1,429	704	49%
177	Spotsylvania	179	91	51%	770	Roanoke City	504	185	37%
179	Stafford	172	81	47%	800	Suffolk	172	89	52%
181	Surry	20	10	50%	810	Virginia Beach	490	249	51%
183	Sussex	42	28	67%	830	Williamsburg	26	19	73%
185	Tazewell	151	76	50%	840	Winchester	42	23	55%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF and VTP recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rate presented here is the all families rate, i. e. the overall rate, including VTP..

These are estimated locality October 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving TANF. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 39% with a denominator of 14,325 and a numerator of 5,638. VTP only estimates are on pages v and vi.

FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation	FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation
Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent	Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent
001	Accomack	79	20	25%	061	Fauquier	63	26	41%
003	Albemarle	65	26	40%	063	Floyd	16	4	25%
005	Alleghany/Covington	61	11	18%	065	Fluvanna	11	2	18%
007	Amelia	26	5	19%	067	Franklin County	88	33	38%
009	Amherst	30	14	47%	069	Frederick	71	35	49%
011	Appomattox	31	18	58%	071	Giles	14	4	29%
013	Arlington	107	35	33%	073	Gloucester	42	11	26%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	250	97	39%	075	Goochland	13	3	23%
017	Bath	0	0	N/A	077	Grayson	15	6	40%
019	Bedford County/City	84	45	54%	079	Greene	21	3	14%
021	Bland	12	4	33%	081	Greensville/Emporia	69	22	32%
023	Botetourt	8	1	13%	083	Halifax	92	20	22%
025	Brunswick	64	39	61%	085	Hanover	74	25	34%
027	Buchanan	53	14	26%	087	Henrico	592	232	39%
029	Buckingham	34	11	32%	089	Henry/Martinsville	261	110	42%
031	Campbell	112	38	34%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	89	19	21%	093	Isle of Wight	66	29	44%
035	Carroll	44	20	45%	095	James City	35	11	31%
036	Charles City	9	2	22%	097	King and Queen	10	1	10%
037	Charlotte	21	9	43%	099	King George	11	5	45%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	351	138	39%	101	King William	13	4	31%
043	Clarke	8	2	25%	103	Lancaster	19	5	26%
045	Craig	1	1	100%	105	Lee	96	38	40%
047	Culpeper	62	28	45%	107	Loudoun	131	43	33%
049	Cumberland	29	10	34%	109	Louisa	28	11	39%
051	Dickensen	46	11	24%	111	Lunenburg	19	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	38	11	29%	113	Madison	18	8	44%
057	Essex	39	20	51%	115	Mathews	9	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	499	204	41%	117	Mecklenburg	78	29	37%

Estimated TANF Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation	FII	PS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation
Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent	Co	de	Locality	inator	erator	Percent
119	Middlesex	11	1	9%	18	7	Warren	52	22	42%
121	Montgomery	156	73	47%	19	1	Washington	71	27	38%
125	Nelson	18	10	56%	19	3	Westmoreland	32	16	50%
127	New Kent	12	4	33%	19	5	Wise	183	52	28%
131	Northampton	41	6	15%	19	7	Wythe	53	16	30%
133	Northumberland	10	1	10%	19	9	York/Poquoson	35	14	40%
135	Nottoway	69	1	1%	51	0	Alexandria	206	81	39%
137	Orange	27	12	44%	52	0	Bristol	122	54	44%
139	Page	26	6	23%	54	0	Charlottesville	148	64	43%
141	Patrick	56	20	36%	55	0	Chesapeake	538	197	37%
143	Pittsylvania	74	24	32%	59	0	Danville	197	77	39%
145	Powhatan	16	5	31%	62	0	Franklin City	30	12	40%
147	Prince Edward	70	29	41%	63	0	Fredericksburg	85	45	53%
149	Prince George	40	12	30%	64	0	Galax	39	11	28%
153	Prince William	502	209	42%	65	0	Hampton	544	203	37%
155	Pulaski	74	28	38%	67	0	Hopewell	171	48	28%
157	Rappahannock	9	2	22%	68	0	Lynchburg	243	93	38%
159	Richmond County	20	6	30%	68	3	Manassas	72	31	43%
161	Roanoke County	117	54	46%	68	5	Manassas Park	16	4	25%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	35	7	20%	70	0	Newport News	735	301	41%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	109	52	48%	71	0	Norfolk	967	514	53%
167	Russell	91	29	32%	72	0	Norton	34	13	38%
169	Scott	81	23	28%	73	0	Petersburg	293	87	30%
171	Shenandoah	25	6	24%	74	0	Portsmouth	579	235	41%
173	Smyth	85	28	33%	75	0	Radford	31	14	45%
175	Southampton	47	10	21%	76	0	Richmond City	1,262	565	45%
177	Spotsylvania	154	69	45%	77	0	Roanoke City	438	124	28%
179	Stafford	150	61	41%	80	0	Suffolk	150	69	46%
181	Surry	20	10	50%	81	0	Virginia Beach	403	167	41%
183	Sussex	35	22	63%	83	0	Williamsburg	23	17	74%
185	Tazewell	133	58	44%	84	0	Winchester	33	14	42%

The work participation rate is calculated by determining the number of TANF recipients who are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." The cases containing these client become the "denominator." To determine the numerator, activities of each of the work eligible persons is examined to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. While not complex, the requirements are fairly detailed. They are enumerated in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are for the TANF all family rate not including VTP.

These are estimated locality October 2008 work participation rates that include only clients receiving VTP. The official participation rate for these cases will be calculated by the Federal Administration for Children and Families from more complete files that are submitted quarterly. The estimated October statewide rate is 91% with a denominator of 2,221 and a numerator of 2,027 TANF only estimates are on pages iii and iv.

FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation	FIPS		Denom-	Num-	Particip- ation
Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent	Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent
001	Accomack	10	10	100%	061	Fauquier	12	12	100%
003	Albemarle	20	20	100%	063	Floyd	2	2	100%
005	Alleghany/Covington	15	15	100%	065	Fluvanna	2	2	100%
007	Amelia	0	0	N/A	067	Franklin County	8	8	100%
009	Amherst	7	5	71%	069	Frederick	4	3	75%
011	Appomattox	3	3	100%	071	Giles	5	5	100%
013	Arlington	21	19	90%	073	Gloucester	8	7	88%
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	43	41	95%	075	Goochland	0	0	N/A
017	Bath	1	1	100%	077	Grayson	1	1	100%
019	Bedford County/City	11	11	100%	079	Greene	4	3	75%
021	Bland	1	1	100%	081	Greensville/Emporia	8	8	100%
023	Botetourt	1	1	100%	083	Halifax	7	7	100%
025	Brunswick	9	8	89%	085	Hanover	11	9	82%
027	Buchanan	8	5	63%	087	Henrico	99	95	96%
029	Buckingham	2	2	100%	089	Henry/Martinsville	22	22	100%
031	Campbell	21	21	100%	091	Highland	0	0	N/A
033	Caroline	6	4	67%	093	Isle of Wight	19	15	79%
035	Carroll	11	11	100%	095	James City	13	9	69%
036	Charles City	0	0	N/A	097	King and Queen	3	3	100%
037	Charlotte	1	1	100%	099	King George	4	4	100%
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	75	69	92%	101	King William	3	3	100%
043	Clarke	2	2	100%	103	Lancaster	0	0	N/A
045	Craig	1	0	0%	105	Lee	13	11	85%
047	Culpeper	10	9	90%	107	Loudoun	44	41	93%
049	Cumberland	7	6	86%	109	Louisa	6	6	100%
051	Dickensen	2	2	100%	111	Lunenburg	1	0	0%
053	Dinwiddie	8	8	100%	113	Madison	0	0	N/A
057	Essex	5	3	60%	115	Mathews	1	0	0%
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	105	95	90%	117	Mecklenburg	10	10	100%

Estimated VTP Only Work Participation Rates, cont.

				Particip-					Particip-
FIPS		Denom-	Num-	ation	FIPS		Denom-	Num-	ation
Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent	Code	Locality	inator	erator	Percent
119	Middlesex	1	1	100%	187	Warren	15	13	87%
121	Montgomery	24	22	92%	191	Washington	14	14	100%
125	Nelson	1	1	100%	193	Westmoreland	2	0	0%
127	New Kent	2	2	100%	195	Wise	20	20	100%
131	Northampton	4	4	100%	197	Wythe	18	10	56%
133	Northumberland	0	0	N/A	199	York/Poquoson	4	4	100%
135	Nottoway	8	7	88%	510	Alexandria	42	42	100%
137	Orange	2	2	100%	520	Bristol	14	14	100%
139	Page	3	1	33%	540	Charlottesville	32	30	94%
141	Patrick	16	15	94%	550	Chesapeake	72	69	96%
143	Pittsylvania	10	10	100%	590	Danville	26	21	81%
145	Powhatan	1	0	0%	620	Franklin City	6	6	100%
147	Prince Edward	15	14	93%	630	Fredericksburg	20	16	80%
149	Prince George	5	5	100%	640	Galax	8	7	88%
153	Prince William	135	124	92%	650	Hampton	79	70	89%
155	Pulaski	8	8	100%	670	Hopewell	26	23	88%
157	Rappahannock	0	0	N/A	680	Lynchburg	35	34	97%
159	Richmond County	3	2	67%	683	Manassas	11	10	91%
161	Roanoke County	19	19	100%	685	Manassas Park	6	6	100%
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	2	1	50%	700	Newport News	127	113	89%
165	Rockingham/H'burg	23	23	100%	710	Norfolk	68	66	97%
167	Russell	10	9	90%	720	Norton	4	3	75%
169	Scott	11	11	100%	730	Petersburg	28	19	68%
171	Shenandoah	0	0	N/A	740	Portsmouth	85	84	99%
173	Smyth	4	4	100%	750	Radford	5	5	100%
175	Southampton	5	5	100%	760	Richmond City	167	139	83%
177	Spotsylvania	25	22	88%	770	Roanoke City	66	61	92%
179	Stafford	22	20	91%	800	Suffolk	22	20	91%
181	Surry	0	0	N/A	810	Virginia Beach	87	82	94%
183	Sussex	7	6	86%	830	Williamsburg	3	2	67%
185	Tazewell	18	18	100%	840	Winchester	9	9	100%

All VTP recipients are considered to be "work-eligible individuals." Thus, all VTP cases are in the "denominator." To determine the numerator, job follow-up entries are reviewed to estimate if Federal work participation requirements are met for the month. The basic requirement for VTP recipients is employment for at least 30 hours per week. The requirement is contained in the reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program, Interim Final Rule as promulgated by the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. The rates presented here are also sometimes referred to as the rates for the maintenance of effort program caseload.

<u>TANF</u>

	Total Statewide													
TANF Case Activity	Oct-08	Oct-07	Change from Oct-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95									
Applications Received ¹	6,008	4,907	22%	4,807	25%									
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	3,241	2,509	29%	1,658	95%									
Applications Approved	3,312	2,369	40%	2,820	17%									
Cases Closed *	2,349	2,538	-7.4%	4,476	-48%									
Cases at End of Month	28,688	27,785	3%	67,995	-58%									
Cases Paid During Month ²	29,801	28,892	3%	70,403	-58%									

^{*} The database indicates that of the 2,349 closed cases, 843 were VIEW cases, of which 595 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, 216 were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, 170 closed with employment. Some of those that were indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

TANF-UP

			Total Statewid	l'e	
TANF-UP Case Activity	Oct-08	Oct-07	Change from Oct-07	Jun-95	Change from Jun-95
Applications Received ¹	514	208	147%	338	52%
Applications Denied or Otherwise Disposed Of	295	188	57%	232	27%
Applications Approved	274	169	62%	81	238%
Cases Closed *	184	168	10%	107	72%
Cases at End of Month	1,130	944	20%	321	252%
Cases Paid During Month ²	1,269	1,030	23%	394	222%

^{*} The database indicates that of the 184 closed cases, 89 were VIEW cases, of which 57 closed with employment. Of the VIEW cases, eleven were closed after 24 months participation, and of those, eight closed with employment. A case that is indicated to have been closed after 24 months may be reinstated for various reasons.

Statewide - Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
Current Data	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED10	ED11	ED12	ED13	ED14	ED15	ED16	ED17	ED18
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	29,818	1,792	955	2,280	852	389	3,278	380	583	1,028	1,591	950	4,639	869	337	2,865	1,088	5,693	249
Total Enrolled in VIEW	11,638	624	445	862	308	181	1,303	147	243	468	505	440	1,854	405	139	1,027	478	2,113	96
Part. in a Work Activity	8,501	428	320	585	225	134	1,007	105	178	320	378	285	1,400	307	100	718	350	1,612	49
% of Enrolled	73%	69%	72%	68%	73%	74%	77%	71%	73%	68%	75%	65%	76%	76%	72%	70%	73%	76%	51%
Part. Assigned to Inactive	123	10	7	10	0	3	26	1	2	3	1	5	20	2	3	7	9	14	0
Participants Employed	6,402	368	226	500	201	85	609	78	126	250	270	226	882	229	64	608	276	1,362	42
% of Enrolled	55%	59%	51%	58%	65%	47%	47%	53%	52%	53%	53%	51%	48%	57%	46%	59%	58%	64%	44%
Full Time	5,413	351	188	423	179	77	476	71	91	205	223	170	724	186	48	506	233	1,229	33
Part Time	989	17	38	77	22	8	133	7	35	45	47	56	158	43	16	102	43	133	9
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.66	\$6.77	\$6.94	\$7.45	\$7.45	\$7.90	\$9.25	\$7.94	\$8.18	\$7.36	\$7.09	\$7.09	\$8.00	\$8.19	\$7.90	\$7.42	\$7.54	\$7.44	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,062	\$979	\$962	\$1,048	\$1,034	\$1,096	\$1,269	\$1,104	\$1,036	\$1,024	\$962	\$946	\$1,119	\$1,101	\$1,070	\$1,010	\$1,052	\$1,045	\$985

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
State Fiscal Year to Date	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED10	ED11	ED12	ED13	ED14	ED15	ED16	ED17	ED18
Individuals Referred	11,659	658	447	1,064	405	216	1,351	178	264	407	617	379	1,598	386	158	1,097	348	1,974	112
Total Enrolled in VIEW	17,643	899	667	1,455	494	293	1,997	217	382	676	832	640	2,674	574	220	1,681	667	3,138	137
Part. in a Work Activity	15,175	770	579	1,243	424	257	1,776	189	338	578	730	541	2,319	483	187	1,422	555	2,673	111
% of Total Enrolled	86%	86%	87%	85%	86%	88%	89%	87%	88%	86%	88%	85%	87%	84%	85%	85%	83%	85%	81%
Participants Employed	10,220	552	380	883	322	157	1,065	110	218	399	425	339	1,375	353	113	1,028	397	2,034	70
% of Total Enrolled	58%	61%	57%	61%	65%	54%	53%	51%	57%	59%	51%	53%	51%	61%	51%	61%	60%	65%	51%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.77	\$6.87	\$6.94	\$7.56	\$7.55	\$7.97	\$9.63	\$7.89	\$8.27	\$7.36	\$7.07	\$7.15	\$7.99	\$8.33	\$7.80	\$7.57	\$7.62	\$7.50	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings	\$1,068	\$973	\$946	\$1,060	\$1,051	\$1,060	\$1,330	\$1,070	\$1,025	\$1,000	\$934	\$958	\$1,095	\$1,106	\$1,113	\$1,039	\$1,054	\$1,047	\$921
Three Months Employment	70%	68%	64%	62%	72%	63%	74%	75%	69%	68%	62%	67%	70%	79%	59%	71%	75%	72%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
Cumulative Data	State	ED 1	ED 2	ED 3	ED 4	ED 5	ED 6	ED 7	ED 8	ED 9	ED10	ED11	ED12	ED13	ED14	ED15	ED16	ED17	ED18
Individuals Referred	208,123	14,047	7,806	15,119	5,856	3,588	25,766	2,929	4,448	7,811	10,044	5,877	27,618	5,557	2,620	19,620	7,049	40,413	1,955
Total Enrolled in VIEW ⁵	154,735	10,515	6,472	11,060	4,309	2,545	19,612	2,174	3,319	6,341	7,878	4,313	21,098	3,803	1,834	14,020	5,365	28,684	1,393
Part. in a Work Activity	148,500	10,097	6,158	10,629	4,172	2,453	19,010	2,094	3,228	6,001	7,603	4,099	20,407	3,642	1,735	13,436	5,127	27,269	1,340
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	95%	96%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	95%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	96%	96%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	110,524	6,752	4,448	8,005	3,137	1,792	14,512	1,520	2,643	4,522	5,184	2,772	15,128	2,714	1,218	10,386	3,863	21,117	811
% of Total Enrolled	71%	64%	69%	72%	73%	70%	74%	70%	80%	71%	66%	64%	72%	71%	66%	74%	72%	74%	58%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$5.90	\$5.97	\$6.78	\$6.82	\$6.95	\$8.28	\$7.11	\$7.12	\$6.19	\$6.10	\$6.35	\$7.06	\$7.52	\$6.64	\$6.67	\$6.69	\$6.56	\$6.31
Average Monthly Earnings	\$914	\$780	\$821	\$923	\$918	\$954	\$1,112	\$949	\$935	\$814	\$824	\$843	\$963	\$998	\$866	\$885	\$916	\$867	\$795
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	58%	52%	50%	56%	55%	64%	54%	55%	58%	54%	55%	60%	53%	45%	55%	55%	57%	62%

EDD1 - Southwest Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,792	202	111	248	240	194	314	423	60
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	624	53	29	107	81	68	109	152	25
Participants in a Work Activity	428	26	17	67	59	42	95	107	15
% of Enrolled	69%	49%	59%	63%	73%	62%	87%	70%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	0	0	0	4	0	0	6	0
Participants Employed	368	20	16	62	43	37	76	101	13
% of Enrolled	59%	38%	55%	58%	53%	54%	70%	66%	52%
Full Time	351	19	16	60	40	35	73	95	13
Part Time	17	1	0	2	3	2	3	6	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.77	\$7.05	\$6.58	\$6.45	\$6.72	\$6.47	\$6.91	\$6.97	\$6.70
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$979	\$1,074	\$943	\$898	\$1,012	\$971	\$978	\$1,006	\$982

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	658	47	33	98	72	85	139	162	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	899	80	54	147	107	97	154	228	32
Participants in a Work Activity	770	58	44	127	95	88	140	191	27
% of Total Enrolled	86%	73%	81%	86%	89%	91%	91%	84%	84%
Participants Employed	552	34	30	94	67	59	101	150	17
% of Total Enrolled	61%	43%	56%	64%	63%	61%	66%	66%	53%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.87	\$7.70	\$6.83	\$6.46	\$6.97	\$6.72	\$6.78	\$7.00	\$6.81
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$973	\$1,178	\$954	\$883	\$992	\$1,002	\$938	\$992	\$896
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	59%	62%	81%	69%	83%	64%	65%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

	Total								
Cumulative Data	EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Individuals Referred	14,047	1,464	1,008	1,971	1,899	1,282	2,726	3,253	444
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	10,515	1,104	751	1,447	1,482	877	2,061	2,447	346
Participants in a Work Activity	10,097	1,018	709	1,428	1,405	858	1,988	2,365	326
% of Total Enrolled	96%	92%	94%	99%	95%	98%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	6,752	573	456	961	944	537	1,439	1,575	267
% of Total Enrolled	64%	52%	61%	66%	64%	61%	70%	64%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.90	\$6.11	\$5.98	\$5.57	\$6.14	\$6.14	\$5.86	\$5.87	\$5.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$780	\$791	\$786	\$745	\$827	\$846	\$775	\$765	\$706
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	54%	49%	60%	59%	64%	57%	58%	61%

EDD2 – Bristol-Galax Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

G	Total	D/ /	<i>G</i> "	C	G 4	*** *	*** 4	D I	<i>a.</i> I
Current Data	EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	955	23	127	41	165	185	116	234	64
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	445	13	41	14	81	59	48	149	40
Participants in a Work Activity	320	10	31	12	52	51	42	98	24
% of Enrolled	72%	77%	76%	86%	64%	86%	88%	66%	60%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0
Participants Employed	226	6	26	8	36	41	31	59	19
% of Enrolled	51%	46%	63%	57%	44%	69%	65%	40%	48%
Full Time	188	3	22	7	32	35	26	45	18
Part Time	38	3	4	1	4	6	5	14	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$6.71	\$6.59	\$6.19	\$6.74	\$7.17	\$6.95	\$7.14	\$7.08
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$745	\$960	\$907	\$1,011	\$961	\$906	\$978	\$1,004

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	447	17	44	9	93	70	51	115	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	667	17	68	22	114	108	79	205	54
Participants in a Work Activity	579	15	60	21	91	104	76	166	46
% of Total Enrolled	87%	88%	88%	95%	80%	96%	96%	81%	85%
Participants Employed	380	7	48	14	52	74	56	101	28
% of Total Enrolled	57%	41%	71%	64%	46%	69%	71%	49%	52%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.94	\$7.84	\$6.69	\$6.55	\$6.65	\$7.11	\$6.86	\$7.10	\$6.97
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,049	\$950	\$891	\$953	\$953	\$892	\$952	\$1,004
Three Months Employment ⁸	64%	71%	64%	73%	78%	59%	75%	56%	55%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Individuals Referred	7,806	205	1,091	542	1,345	1,274	1,234	1,578	537
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	6,472	160	895	440	1094	1034	995	1,394	460
Participants in a Work Activity	6,158	149	804	406	1036	1013	975	1,338	437
% of Total Enrolled	95%	93%	90%	92%	95%	98%	98%	96%	95%
Participants Employed	4,448	103	607	293	700	720	689	1,020	316
% of Total Enrolled	69%	64%	68%	67%	64%	70%	69%	73%	69%
Average Wage ⁶	\$5.97	\$5.88	\$5.79	\$6.11	\$5.74	\$6.27	\$6.04	\$5.98	\$5.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$821	\$806	\$790	\$816	\$795	\$868	\$810	\$833	\$831
Five Months Employment ⁹	52%	45%	45%	58%	53%	50%	57%	49%	60%

EDD3 – Roanoke Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covngton	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,280	114	21	4	36	208	34	317	181	259	77	1,029
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	862	75	9	2	13	70	17	142	62	98	37	337
Participants in a Work Activity	585	41	5	1	7	46	14	109	54	74	23	211
% of Enrolled	68%	55%	56%	50%	54%	66%	82%	77%	87%	76%	62%	63%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	10	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	0	0
Participants Employed	500	37	3	1	6	35	12	104	38	56	21	187
% of Enrolled	58%	49%	33%	50%	46%	50%	71%	73%	61%	57%	57%	55%
Full Time	423	21	3	1	4	29	11	99	35	47	17	156
Part Time	77	16	0	0	2	6	1	5	3	9	4	31
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$6.70	\$7.37	\$7.00	\$6.27	\$7.67	\$7.68	\$7.47	\$7.01	\$7.68	\$7.39	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,048	\$773	\$1,184	\$1,212	\$694	\$1,084	\$1,114	\$1,082	\$995	\$1,121	\$929	\$1,083

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covngton	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	1,064	40	11	0	15	115	21	137	93	109	33	490
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,455	109	18	2	21	104	30	223	121	160	57	610
Participants in a Work Activity	1,243	96	12	1	15	82	27	194	111	136	48	521
% of Total Enrolled	85%	88%	67%	50%	71%	79%	90%	87%	92%	85%	84%	85%
Participants Employed	883	72	5	1	11	55	20	166	72	105	39	337
% of Total Enrolled	61%	66%	28%	50%	52%	53%	67%	74%	60%	66%	68%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.56	\$7.13	\$7.53	\$7.00	\$7.72	\$7.56	\$7.45	\$7.53	\$7.13	\$7.61	\$7.27	\$7.78
Average Monthly Farnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$864	\$718	\$1,204	\$1,010	\$1,091	\$989	\$1,076	\$1,008	\$1,112	\$932	\$1,108
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	55%	60%	N/A	67%	66%	67%	64%	56%	63%	63%	62%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covngton	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Individuals Referred	15,119	965	250	51	386	1,268	374	2,169	1,362	1,558	609	6,127
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	11,060	705	147	13	261	913	246	1,727	1048	1194	459	4,347
Participants in a Work Activity	10,629	673	132	13	252	842	241	1,706	1013	1134	447	4,176
% of Total Enrolled	96%	95%	90%	100%	97%	92%	98%	99%	97%	95%	97%	96%
Participants Employed	8,005	517	74	10	187	625	150	1,418	707	923	339	3,055
% of Total Enrolled	72%	73%	50%	77%	72%	68%	61%	82%	67%	77%	74%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.78	\$6.58	\$7.09	\$6.13	\$6.62	\$6.62	\$6.64	\$6.64	\$6.56	\$7.24	\$6.74	\$6.86
Average Monthly Farnings ⁷	\$923	\$813	\$901	\$978	\$891	\$923	\$911	\$906	\$925	\$1,014	\$881	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	50%	46%	45%	67%	45%	51%	49%	54%	45%	52%	45%	49%

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total	Aug/S'ton/			Rockbridge	Rockingham
Current Data	EDD4	W'boro	Bath	Highland	/BV/Lex	/HBurg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	852	561	5	0	64	222
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	308	191	2	0	22	93
Participants in a Work Activity	225	131	0	N/A	16	78
% of Enrolled	73%	69%	0%	N/A	73%	84%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	0	0	0	N/A	0	0
Participants Employed	201	116	0	N/A	15	70
% of Enrolled	65%	61%	0%	N/A	68%	75%
Full Time	179	106	N/A	N/A	11	62
Part Time	22	10	N/A	N/A	4	8
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.45	\$7.40	N/A	N/A	\$7.14	\$7.59
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,034	\$1,030	N/A	N/A	\$943	\$1,059

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

		• /				
State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	405	261	3	0	27	114
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	494	314	4	0	31	145
Participants in a Work Activity	424	262	4	N/A	25	133
% of Total Enrolled	86%	83%	100%	N/A	81%	92%
Participants Employed	322	183	2	N/A	19	118
% of Total Enrolled	65%	58%	50%	N/A	61%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.55	\$7.46	\$6.40	N/A	\$7.52	\$7.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,051	\$1,070	\$488	N/A	\$990	\$1,041
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	74%	100%	N/A	71%	70%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton/ W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge /BV/Lex	Rockingham /HBurg
Individuals Referred	5,856	3,156	58	16	536	2,090
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3, 5	4,309	2,361	34	7	387	1,520
Participants in a Work Activity	4,172	2,296	33	7	370	1,466
% of Total Enrolled	97%	97%	97%	100%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,137	1,654	22	5	300	1,156
% of Total Enrolled	73%	70%	65%	71%	78%	76%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.82	\$6.82	\$6.56	\$7.75	\$6.51	\$6.89
Average Monthly Farnings ⁷	\$918	\$932	\$732	\$694	\$849	\$922
Five Months Employment ⁹	56%	53%	55%	60%	55%	59%

EDDS 5 & 18 – Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total							Total		
Current Data	EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	389	13	114	44	34	103	81	249	157	92
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	181	3	59	23	14	55	27	96	49	47
Participants in a Work Activity	134	2	34	15	12	49	22	49	26	23
% of Enrolled	74%	67%	58%	65%	86%	89%	81%	51%	53%	49%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	85	2	26	9	8	24	16	42	23	19
% of Enrolled	47%	67%	44%	39%	5	44%	59%	44%	47%	40%
Full Time	77	1	26	9	5	20	16	33	17	16
Part Time	8	1	0	0	3	4	0	9	6	3
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$6.68	\$8.55	\$7.87	\$7.16	\$8.15	\$7.00	\$7.08	\$7.00	\$7.18
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,096	\$721	\$1,215	\$1,052	\$881	\$1,138	\$1,017	\$985	\$961	\$1,015

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	216	5	57	29	23	58	44	112	75	37
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	293	5	81	40	23	90	54	137	79	58
Participants in a Work Activity	257	5	69	36	19	80	48	111	63	48
% of Total Enrolled	88%	100%	85%	90%	83%	89%	89%	81%	80%	83%
Participants Employed	157	5	39	24	13	47	29	70	38	32
% of Total Enrolled	54%	100%	48%	60%	57%	52%	54%	51%	48%	55%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.97	\$7.15	\$8.42	\$7.60	\$7.19	\$8.29	\$7.65	\$7.03	\$7.02	\$7.04
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,060	\$801	\$1,097	\$965	\$934	\$1,139	\$1,060	\$921	\$905	\$938
Three Months Employment ⁸	63%	25%	77%	62%	67%	50%	71%	70%	69%	72%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Individuals Referred	3,588	148	653	555	472	904	856	1,955	1214	741
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	2,545	85	459	438	269	647	647	1,393	838	555
Participants in a Work Activity	2,453	80	435	428	262	620	628	1340	817	523
% of Total Enrolled	96%	94%	95%	98%	97%	96%	97%	96%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	1,792	54	286	334	186	461	471	811	456	355
% of Total Enrolled	70%	64%	62%	76%	69%	71%	73%	58%	54%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.95	\$7.08	\$7.07	\$7.03	\$6.37	\$7.00	\$6.93	\$6.31	\$6.38	\$6.22
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$954	\$947	\$952	\$965	\$894	\$965	\$957	\$795	\$802	\$786
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	47%	55%	57%	53%	58%	51%	62%	59%	66%

EDD6 – Northern Virginia Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	3,278	263	1,149	238	1,020	420	140	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,303	104	415	124	465	135	46	14
Participants in a Work Activity	1,007	71	360	81	340	114	30	11
% of Enrolled	77%	68%	87%	65%	73%	84%	65%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	26	1	18	1	6	0	0	0
Participants Employed	609	29	188	67	231	62	25	7
% of Enrolled	47%	28%	45%	54%	50%	46%	54%	50%
Full Time	476	17	161	45	176	46	24	7
Part Time	133	12	27	22	55	16	1	0
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.25	\$8.84	\$9.54	\$9.61	\$9.01	\$9.17	\$9.05	\$8.72
Average Monthly Farnings ⁷	\$1,269	\$1,130	\$1,355	\$1,254	\$1,223	\$1,239	\$1,334	\$1,245

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	1,351	105	404	117	493	161	57	14
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,997	145	645	191	710	198	84	24
Participants in a Work Activity	1,776	120	599	167	625	174	70	21
% of Total Enrolled	89%	83%	93%	87%	88%	88%	83%	88%
Participants Employed	1,065	55	314	121	416	99	47	13
% of Total Enrolled	53%	38%	49%	63%	59%	50%	56%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$9.63	\$9.47	\$9.71	\$9.88	\$9.71	\$9.22	\$8.99	\$8.69
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,330	\$1,222	\$1,356	\$1,336	\$1,361	\$1,202	\$1,284	\$1,228
Three Months Employment ⁸	74%	52%	77%	75%	73%	79%	68%	88%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Individuals Referred	25,766	2,386	8,949	1,708	8,216	3,113	1,070	324
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	19,612	1,819	6,631	1,349	6,302	2,459	806	246
Participants in a Work Activity	19,010	1,789	6,444	1,299	6,097	2,363	777	241
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	97%	96%	97%	96%	96%	98%
Participants Employed	14,512	1,357	4,703	1,120	4,803	1,774	571	184
% of Total Enrolled	74%	75%	71%	83%	76%	72%	71%	75%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.28	\$7.81	\$8.19	\$8.74	\$8.52	\$7.97	\$7.90	\$7.89
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,112	\$1,020	\$1,094	\$1,141	\$1,181	\$1,018	\$1,074	\$1,049
Five Months Employment ⁹	64%	64%	62%	66%	62%	70%	60%	70%

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total					
Current Data	EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	380	142	115	37	76	10
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	147	55	48	18	22	4
Participants in a Work Activity	105	34	40	13	15	3
% of Enrolled	71%	62%	83%	72%	68%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	1	0	0
Participants Employed	78	23	29	10	14	2
% of Enrolled	53%	42%	60%	56%	64%	50%
Full Time	71	21	26	10	13	1
Part Time	7	2	3	0	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.94	\$8.34	\$7.98	\$8.22	\$7.17	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,104	\$1,161	\$1,071	\$1,259	\$996	\$909

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

• •	•	,		, ,		
State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	178	68	57	23	25	5
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	217	84	64	28	36	5
Participants in a Work Activity	189	69	60	28	28	4
% of Total Enrolled	87%	82%	94%	100%	78%	80%
Participants Employed	110	33	40	15	19	3
% of Total Enrolled	51%	39%	63%	54%	53%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.89	\$8.17	\$8.10	\$7.90	\$7.08	\$7.00
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,098	\$1,087	\$1,177	\$930	\$853
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	83%	67%	83%	67%	100%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/95

Cumulative Data	Total EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Individuals Referred	2,929	1,115	847	286	604	77
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	2,174	795	636	210	479	54
Participants in a Work Activity	2,094	761	628	203	451	51
% of Total Enrolled	96%	96%	99%	97%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	1,520	532	456	143	354	35
% of Total Enrolled	70%	67%	72%	68%	74%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.11	\$7.06	\$7.44	\$6.96	\$6.85	\$7.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$949	\$932	\$974	\$975	\$932	\$935
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	56%	63%	45%	44%	45%

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	583	125	28	37	72	40	281
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	243	46	5	23	32	14	123
Participants in a Work Activity	178	23	4	10	22	13	106
% of Enrolled	73%	50%	80%	43%	69%	93%	86%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
Participants Employed	126	17	2	9	14	12	72
% of Enrolled	52%	37%	40%	39%	44%	86%	59%
Full Time	91	13	2	5	12	10	49
Part Time	35	4	0	4	2	2	23
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.18	\$8.35	\$7.75	\$7.64	\$9.22	\$7.31	\$8.17
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,036	\$1,079	\$1,180	\$818	\$1,335	\$1,025	\$992

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	264	63	9	25	36	13	118
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	382	68	13	33	49	20	199
Participants in a Work Activity	338	64	11	18	40	16	189
% of Total Enrolled	88%	94%	85%	55%	82%	80%	95%
Participants Employed	218	33	7	13	24	13	128
% of Total Enrolled	57%	49%	54%	39%	49%	65%	64%
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.27	\$8.59	\$8.29	\$7.87	\$8.90	\$7.37	\$8.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,025	\$1,085	\$1,093	\$987	\$1,196	\$937	\$986
Three Months Employment ⁸	69%	67%	100%	38%	67%	82%	71%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Individuals Referred	4,448	1011	163	343	654	203	2,074
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	3,319	694	105	244	441	131	1,704
Participants in a Work Activity	3,228	678	100	227	417	129	1,677
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	95%	93%	95%	98%	98%
Participants Employed	2,643	538	79	191	291	90	1,454
% of Total Enrolled	80%	78%	75%	78%	66%	69%	85%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.12	\$7.48	\$7.29	\$7.07	\$7.09	\$7.29	\$7.03
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$935	\$977	\$1,078	\$916	\$955	\$1,004	\$918
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	54%	49%	50%	54%	54%	56%

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total	1				
Current Data	EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,028	76	68	167	237	480
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	468	23	32	91	129	193
Participants in a Work Activity	320	12	28	65	86	129
% of Enrolled	68%	52%	88%	71%	67%	67%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	1	2	0
Participants Employed	250	9	21	50	61	109
% of Enrolled	53%	39%	66%	55%	47%	56%
Full Time	205	6	19	45	51	84
Part Time	45	3	2	5	10	25
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.93	\$7.12	\$7.25	\$7.70	\$7.21
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,024	\$1,048	\$1,028	\$1,014	\$1,078	\$997

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	407	22	35	87	78	185
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	676	38	54	133	168	283
Participants in a Work Activity	578	36	51	118	140	233
% of Total Enrolled	86%	95%	94%	89%	83%	82%
Participants Employed	399	25	34	81	90	169
% of Total Enrolled	59%	66%	63%	61%	54%	60%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.36	\$7.31	\$7.02	\$7.34	\$7.69	\$7.28
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,000	\$896	\$1,019	\$974	\$1,072	\$984
Three Months Employment ⁸	68%	76%	66%	67%	71%	66%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/95

	Total					
Cumulative Data	EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Individuals Referred	7,811	712	643	1,547	1,793	3,116
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	6,341	564	559	1207	1,488	2,523
Participants in a Work Activity	6,001	543	546	1137	1,396	2,379
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	98%	94%	94%	94%
Participants Employed	4,522	392	405	819	1,036	1,870
% of Total Enrolled	71%	70%	72%	68%	70%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.19	\$6.41	\$5.99	\$6.19	\$6.36	\$6.12
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$814	\$821	\$819	\$806	\$850	\$795
Five Months Employment ⁹	58%	53%	43%	55%	60%	63%

EDD10 - Danville Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total		Henry/			
Current Data	EDD10	Halifax	Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,591	228	488	116	224	535
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	505	59	202	61	46	137
Participants in a Work Activity	378	38	159	48	30	103
% of Enrolled	75%	64%	79%	79%	65%	75%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	1	0	0	0	0	1
Participants Employed	270	27	107	37	28	71
% of Enrolled	53%	46%	53%	61%	61%	52%
Full Time	223	19	89	31	24	60
Part Time	47	8	18	6	4	11
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$7.12	\$7.29	\$6.59	\$7.22	\$7.01
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$962	\$953	\$1,005	\$929	\$909	\$939

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	617	81	202	65	79	190
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	832	111	280	100	90	251
Participants in a Work Activity	730	94	244	88	83	221
% of Total Enrolled	88%	85%	87%	88%	92%	88%
Participants Employed	425	51	154	61	47	112
% of Total Enrolled	51%	46%	55%	61%	52%	45%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.07	\$6.96	\$7.26	\$6.43	\$7.27	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$934	\$908	\$975	\$845	\$961	\$927
Three Months Employment ⁸	62%	63%	63%	72%	56%	60%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/96

Cumulative Data	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Individuals Referred	10,044	1,548	2,626	1,018	1,609	3,243
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	7,878	1,271	1,868	874	1,213	2,652
Participants in a Work Activity	7,603	1,215	1,785	821	1,195	2,587
% of Total Enrolled	97%	96%	96%	94%	99%	98%
Participants Employed	5,184	781	1,239	598	711	1,855
% of Total Enrolled	66%	61%	66%	68%	59%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.10	\$6.07	\$6.39	\$6.01	\$6.13	\$5.96
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$824	\$813	\$911	\$790	\$844	\$781
Five Months Employment ⁹	54%	48%	55%	66%	52%	53%

EDD11 - Southside Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

Current Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Bruns- wick	Bucking- ham	Charlotte	Cumber- land	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunen- burg	Mecklen- burg	Notto- way	Pr. Edward
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	950	57	114	64	62	61	127	37	170	126	132
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	440	19	63	30	20	28	60	27	68	62	63
Participants in a Work Activity	285	10	45	22	15	18	52	7	37	33	46
% of Enrolled	65%	53%	71%	73%	75%	64%	87%	26%	54%	53%	73%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	5	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Participants Employed	226	6	45	17	9	16	28	6	34	32	33
% of Enrolled	51%	32%	71%	57%	45%	57%	47%	22%	50%	52%	52%
Full Time	170	5	25	17	5	13	17	5	25	31	27
Part Time	56	1	20	0	4	3	11	1	9	1	6
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.09	\$8.01	\$6.47	\$7.85	\$8.46	\$7.77	\$7.17	\$5.31	\$7.10	\$6.93	\$7.10
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$946	\$1,002	\$769	\$1,126	\$1,006	\$1,078	\$928	\$652	\$966	\$989	\$1,012

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD11	Amelia	Bruns- wick	Bucking- ham	Charlotte	Cumber- land	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunen- burg	Mecklen- burg	Notto- way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	379	22	47	44	32	25	37	11	60	53	48
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	640	27	86	52	33	39	101	28	101	83	90
Participants in a Work Activity	541	22	74	43	26	34	90	13	97	62	80
% of Total Enrolled	85%	81%	86%	83%	79%	87%	89%	46%	96%	75%	89%
Participants Employed	339	14	40	28	12	26	53	6	60	51	49
% of Total Enrolled	53%	52%	47%	54%	36%	67%	52%	21%	59%	61%	54%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.15	\$7.36	\$6.38	\$7.64	\$8.29	\$8.06	\$7.35	\$5.31	\$7.02	\$7.05	\$7.24
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$958	\$1,147	\$775	\$1,113	\$892	\$1,103	\$926	\$647	\$983	\$1,031	\$952
Three Months Employment ⁸	67%	57%	78%	81%	53%	57%	56%	100%	60%	72%	80%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD11	Amelia	Bruns- wick	Bucking- ham	Charlotte	Cumber- land	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunen- burg	Mecklen- burg	Notto- way	Pr. Edward
Individuals Referred	5,877	336	806	590	490	405	703	230	841	723	753
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3, 5	4,313	232	623	417	326	275	528	159	634	528	591
Participants in a Work Activity	4,099	210	618	382	300	259	509	157	596	491	577
% of Total Enrolled	95%	91%	99%	92%	92%	94%	96%	99%	94%	93%	98%
Participants Employed	2,772	148	359	255	175	167	313	81	449	371	454
% of Total Enrolled	64%	64%	58%	61%	54%	61%	59%	51%	71%	70%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.35	\$7.28	\$5.91	\$6.66	\$6.65	\$6.88	\$6.31	\$5.52	\$6.03	\$6.36	\$6.39
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$843	\$951	\$798	\$905	\$862	\$932	\$800	\$732	\$796	\$859	\$850
Five Months Employment9	55%	43%	57%	52%	45%	44%	57%	61%	57%	55%	60%

EDD12 - Richmond Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total	Chesterfield/					Richmond
Current Data	EDD12	Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	City
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	4,639	770	38	123	1,213	36	2,459
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,854	313	13	65	486	11	966
Participants in a Work Activity	1,400	220	10	50	336	8	776
% of Enrolled	76%	70%	77%	77%	69%	73%	80%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	20	1	1	1	10	0	7
Participants Employed	882	168	5	34	239	7	429
% of Enrolled	48%	54%	38%	52%	49%	64%	44%
Full Time	724	132	4	24	197	4	363
Part Time	158	36	1	10	42	3	66
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.00	\$8.08	\$7.06	\$8.70	\$8.50	\$7.56	\$7.65
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,119	\$1,097	\$954	\$1,120	\$1,207	\$936	\$1,084

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	1,598	344	12	55	375	11	801
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,674	434	18	81	657	18	1,466
Participants in a Work Activity	2,319	388	15	68	539	12	1,297
% of Total Enrolled	87%	89%	83%	84%	82%	67%	88%
Participants Employed	1,375	234	7	44	365	10	715
% of Total Enrolled	51%	54%	39%	54%	56%	56%	49%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.99	\$8.00	\$7.91	\$8.69	\$8.36	\$9.09	\$7.74
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,095	\$1,086	\$1,105	\$1,132	\$1,164	\$1,019	\$1,062
Three Months Employment ⁸	70%	78%	60%	83%	74%	50%	65%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 4/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hghts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Individuals Referred	27,618	5,311	184	839	6,252	240	14,792
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	21,098	3,943	129	570	4,911	159	11,386
Participants in a Work Activity	20,407	3,851	124	523	4,661	149	11,099
% of Total Enrolled	97%	98%	96%	92%	95%	94%	97%
Participants Employed	15,128	2,723	89	388	3,507	96	8,325
% of Total Enrolled	72%	69%	69%	68%	71%	60%	73%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.06	\$7.29	\$6.51	\$7.93	\$7.31	\$6.76	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$963	\$992	\$854	\$1,050	\$997	\$860	\$941
Five Months Employment ⁹	60%	61%	55%	66%	56%	51%	61%

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total		King			
Current Data	EDD13	Caroline	George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	869	153	33	296	238	149
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	405	54	7	146	112	86
Participants in a Work Activity	307	44	6	96	93	68
% of Enrolled	76%	81%	86%	66%	83%	79%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	2	0	0	1	0	1
Participants Employed	229	23	5	87	57	57
% of Enrolled	57%	43%	71%	60%	51%	66%
Full Time	186	23	4	69	49	41
Part Time	43	0	1	18	8	16
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.19	\$8.13	\$8.75	\$8.04	\$8.20	\$8.38
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,101	\$1,211	\$1,158	\$1,083	\$1,076	\$1,104

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

•											
State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg					
Individuals Referred	386	72	18	110	117	69					
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	574	70	17	200	168	119					
Participants in a Work Activity	483	59	15	161	142	106					
% of Total Enrolled	84%	84%	88%	81%	85%	89%					
Participants Employed	353	31	10	138	94	80					
% of Total Enrolled	61%	44%	59%	69%	56%	67%					
Average Wage ⁶	\$8.33	\$8.04	\$8.33	\$8.33	\$8.36	\$8.41					
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,106	\$1,182	\$1,047	\$1,085	\$1,126	\$1,096					
Three Months Employment ⁸	79%	73%	69%	81%	83%	77%					

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Individuals Referred	5,557	887	365	1,556	1,625	1,124
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	3,803	583	233	1175	901	911
Participants in a Work Activity	3,642	564	222	1084	875	897
% of Total Enrolled	96%	97%	95%	92%	97%	98%
Participants Employed	2,714	369	166	868	576	735
% of Total Enrolled	71%	63%	71%	74%	64%	81%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.52	\$7.21	\$7.08	\$7.61	\$7.98	\$7.41
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$998	\$934	\$901	\$1,013	\$1,112	\$970
Five Months Employment ⁹	53%	41%	50%	56%	57%	53%

EDD14 - Northern Neck Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total		King &	King				North-	Richmond	West-
Current Data	EDD14	Essex	Queen	William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	umberland	County	moreland
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	337	79	20	35	38	15	29	27	31	63
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	139	39	5	15	12	8	12	11	7	30
Participants in a Work Activity	100	33	4	13	7	6	4	5	3	25
% of Enrolled	72%	85%	80%	87%	58%	75%	33%	45%	43%	83%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Participants Employed	64	15	3	7	7	6	3	3	3	17
% of Enrolled	46%	38%	60%	47%	58%	75%	25%	27%	43%	57%
Full Time	48	9	3	5	6	4	1	2	2	16
Part Time	16	6	0	2	1	2	2	1	1	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.90	\$8.00	\$7.22	\$8.19	\$7.68	\$6.41	\$7.60	\$7.88	\$7.67	\$8.52
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,070	\$1,077	\$1,104	\$1,115	\$1,204	\$830	\$808	\$895	\$951	\$1,166

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	158	37	9	15	22	4	17	13	14	27
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	220	58	9	22	17	8	18	17	17	54
Participants in a Work Activity	187	53	8	20	15	6	12	13	16	44
% of Total Enrolled	85%	91%	89%	91%	88%	75%	67%	76%	94%	81%
Participants Employed	113	29	6	10	9	6	7	6	9	31
% of Total Enrolled	51%	50%	67%	45%	53%	75%	39%	35%	53%	57%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.80	\$7.48	\$7.16	\$8.04	\$8.03	\$6.41	\$8.34	\$7.03	\$7.48	\$8.48
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,113	\$907	\$2,194	\$1,116	\$1,183	\$825	\$1,032	\$900	\$977	\$1,157
Three Months Employment ⁸	59%	63%	50%	60%	60%	100%	60%	43%	38%	59%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 7/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Individuals Referred	2,620	380	199	196	320	146	296	243	248	592
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	1,834	287	125	155	235	89	212	193	125	413
Participants in a Work Activity	1,735	276	118	148	221	82	195	187	121	387
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	94%	95%	94%	92%	92%	97%	97%	94%
Participants Employed	1,218	197	75	93	164	57	150	118	86	278
% of Total Enrolled	66%	69%	60%	60%	70%	64%	71%	61%	69%	67%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.64	\$6.58	\$7.41	\$6.98	\$6.41	\$6.52	\$6.65	\$6.29	\$6.69	\$6.66
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$866	\$827	\$959	\$971	\$816	\$831	\$862	\$830	\$927	\$884
Five Months Employment ⁹	45%	42%	35%	45%	47%	50%	55%	42%	32%	49%

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total	Charles		James				Newport	
Current Data	EDD15	City	Gloucester	City	NewKent	York/Poq	Hampton	News	Willmsburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	2,865	30	96	95	30	79	1,065	1,435	35
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,027	11	29	30	13	27	342	552	23
Participants in a Work Activity	718	8	22	22	8	16	223	401	18
% of Enrolled	70%	73%	76%	73%	62%	59%	65%	73%	78%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0
Participants Employed	608	6	18	19	7	12	193	337	16
% of Enrolled	59%	55%	62%	63%	54%	44%	56%	61%	70%
Full Time	506	5	15	12	7	10	150	292	15
Part Time	102	1	3	7	0	2	43	45	1
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.42	\$6.70	\$7.91	\$8.09	\$7.76	\$7.06	\$7.28	\$7.44	\$7.63
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,010	\$986	\$992	\$1,029	\$1,105	\$954	\$954	\$1,042	\$1,020

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
	_					1	11umpron		
Individuals Referred	1,097	6	37	34	13	33	388	564	22
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	1,681	12	48	61	18	41	536	934	31
Participants in a Work Activity	1,422	10	43	55	15	30	465	774	30
% of Total Enrolled	85%	83%	90%	90%	83%	73%	87%	83%	97%
Participants Employed	1,028	7	33	45	11	19	321	572	20
% of Total Enrolled	61%	58%	69%	74%	61%	46%	60%	61%	65%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.57	\$6.95	\$7.61	\$8.19	\$8.66	\$7.24	\$7.47	\$7.57	\$7.76
	4	40.72	Ψ	Ψ0.22	40.00	Ψ	4	\$1.12.	4
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,039	\$1,006	\$943	\$1,031	\$1,173	\$943	\$1,007	\$1,064	\$1,039
Three Months Employment ⁸	71%	67%	73%	78%	78%	74%	72%	69%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Individuals Referred	19,620	117	788	756	220	724	6,962	9,794	259
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	14,020	82	529	561	152	497	4,865	7,156	178
Participants in a Work Activity	13,436	80	495	527	145	481	4,756	6,782	170
% of Total Enrolled	96%	98%	94%	94%	95%	97%	98%	95%	96%
Participants Employed	10,386	42	381	415	105	325	3,562	5,419	137
% of Total Enrolled	74%	51%	72%	74%	69%	65%	73%	76%	77%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.67	\$6.62	\$6.50	\$6.99	\$7.26	\$6.70	\$6.67	\$6.64	\$6.98
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$885	\$885	\$823	\$856	\$1,005	\$853	\$883	\$892	\$878
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	59%	56%	52%	54%	47%	52%	58%	51%

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total		Prince				
Current Data	EDD16	Dinwiddie	George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	1,088	93	89	44	64	286	512
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	478	21	37	20	31	148	221
Participants in a Work Activity	350	19	30	16	29	119	137
% of Enrolled	73%	90%	81%	80%	94%	80%	62%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	9	0	1	0	0	4	4
Participants Employed	276	17	20	12	23	83	121
% of Enrolled	58%	81%	54%	60%	74%	56%	55%
Full Time	233	16	15	7	21	66	108
Part Time	43	1	5	5	2	17	13
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.54	\$7.72	\$8.01	\$8.02	\$6.86	\$7.47	\$7.56
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,052	\$1,178	\$1,238	\$940	\$997	\$989	\$1,069

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	348	37	39	11	23	97	141
Total Enrolled in VIEW	667	48	61	26	53	184	295
Participants in a Work Activity	555	46	48	22	48	164	227
% of Total Enrolled	83%	96%	79%	85%	91%	89%	77%
Participants Employed	397	33	34	16	35	114	165
% of Total Enrolled	60%	69%	56%	62%	66%	62%	56%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.62	\$7.90	\$8.29	\$7.92	\$7.09	\$7.51	\$7.60
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,054	\$1,234	\$1,297	\$872	\$1,022	\$977	\$1,050
Three Months Employment ⁸	75%	84%	56%	92%	73%	78%	74%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 1/1/97

Cumulative Data	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Individuals Referred	7,049	887	613	253	576	1,752	2,968
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	5,365	627	442	194	442	1,377	2,283
Participants in a Work Activity	5,127	625	385	181	427	1,324	2,185
% of Total Enrolled	96%	100%	87%	93%	97%	96%	96%
Participants Employed	3,863	447	282	143	308	1,002	1,681
% of Total Enrolled	72%	71%	64%	74%	70%	73%	74%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.69	\$6.69	\$7.13	\$6.71	\$6.71	\$6.58	\$6.68
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$916	\$924	\$971	\$844	\$931	\$901	\$919
Five Months Employment ⁹	55%	53%	51%	50%	57%	51%	57%

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: Status through October 31, 2008

TANF Cases as of the end of 10/31/08 and Work Activity as of 10/31/08

	Total	Isle of	South -	Chesa-	Franklin				VA
Current Data	EDD17	Wight	ampton	peake	City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	Beach
TANF Cases End of Month ¹⁰	5,693	130	105	1,032	86	1,856	1,144	395	945
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	2,113	40	31	399	23	787	415	114	304
Participants in a Work Activity	1,612	33	15	292	17	656	313	73	213
% of Enrolled	76%	83%	48%	73%	74%	83%	75%	64%	70%
Participants Assigned to Inactive	14	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	9
Participants Employed	1,362	27	10	248	14	569	245	65	184
% of Enrolled	64%	68%	32%	62%	61%	72%	59%	57%	61%
Full Time	1,229	21	6	225	11	535	214	57	160
Part Time	133	6	4	23	3	34	31	8	24
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.44	\$7.28	\$8.00	\$7.60	\$7.18	\$7.31	\$7.41	\$7.22	\$7.78
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,045	\$960	\$1,074	\$1,060	\$1,036	\$1,037	\$1,052	\$1,026	\$1,063

Work Activity of all enrolled July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008, Year to Date

State Fiscal Year to Date	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South - ampton	Chesa- peake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Individuals Referred	1,974	42	43	388	28	591	337	147	398
Total Enrolled in VIEW ³	3,138	65	57	604	35	1,051	617	197	512
Participants in a Work Activity	2,673	58	48	515	31	913	526	162	420
% of Total Enrolled	85%	89%	84%	85%	89%	87%	85%	82%	82%
Participants Employed	2,034	44	25	385	20	753	393	114	300
% of Total Enrolled	65%	68%	44%	64%	57%	72%	64%	58%	59%
Average Wage ⁶	\$7.50	\$7.43	\$7.35	\$7.71	\$7.14	\$7.28	\$7.54	\$7.27	\$7.87
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$1,047	\$1,067	\$977	\$1,079	\$986	\$1,009	\$1,077	\$1,013	\$1,082
Three Months Employment ⁸	72%	62%	64%	77%	60%	77%	70%	59%	67%

Work Activity of all enrolled in VIEW Since Program Implementation 10/1/97

	Total	Isle of	South -	Chesa-	Franklin				VA
Cumulative Data	EDD17	Wight	ampton	peake	City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	Beach
Individuals Referred	40,413	873	759	6,385	633	13,089	6,646	2,608	9,420
Total Enrolled in VIEW 3,5	28,684	608	584	4,505	492	9,206	5,100	1,978	6,211
Participants in a Work Activity	27,269	585	555	4,274	478	8,790	4,827	1,944	5,816
% of Total Enrolled	95%	96%	95%	95%	97%	95%	95%	98%	94%
Participants Employed	21,117	449	361	3,390	349	6,759	3,909	1,530	4,370
% of Total Enrolled	74%	74%	62%	75%	71%	73%	77%	77%	70%
Average Wage ⁶	\$6.56	\$6.60	\$6.28	\$6.68	\$6.19	\$6.46	\$6.47	\$6.38	\$6.88
Average Monthly Earnings ⁷	\$867	\$887	\$800	\$889	\$778	\$833	\$877	\$835	\$927
Five Months Employment ⁹	57%	55%	48%	56%	55%	55%	62%	60%	56%

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date

This section lists the relative state fiscal year to date rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Wage, and Percent Employed Three Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

		Percent	Score				Score		Percent Employed	Score				
FIPS		Em-	from			verage	from		at 3	from	, , l	I A	Average	
Code	Locality	ployed		Rank		Wage	0 to 10	Rank	Months	0 to 10	Rank		Score	Rank
	Accomack	48.1%	3.39	100		\$7.02	3.74	96	69.0%	5.86	56		4.33	98
	Albemarle	48.5%	3.45	98	_	\$8.59	7.18	12	66.7%	5.56	59		5.39	39
005	Alleghany/Covington	66.1%	5.68	19		\$7.13	3.98	86	54.8%	3.97	109		4.54	88
007	Amelia	51.9%	3.87	88		\$7.36	4.49	69	57.1%	4.29	101		4.21	100
009	Amherst	65.8%	5.65	21		\$7.31	4.38	73	76.0%	6.80	31		5.61	33
011	Appomattox	63.0%	5.29	33		\$7.02	3.74	97	65.6%	5.42	70		4.82	74
013	Arlington	37.9%	2.10	115		\$9.47	9.10	4	51.7%	3.56	111		4.92	65
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	58.3%	4.69	55		\$7.46	4.70	65	73.6%	6.48	37		5.29	51
017	Bath	50.0%	3.64	90		\$6.40	2.39	117	100.0%	10.00	1		5.34	41
019	Bedford County/City	60.9%	5.02	43		\$7.34	4.44	72	66.7%	5.56	60		5.01	61
021	Bland	41.2%	2.51	110		\$7.84	5.54	40	71.4%	6.19	47		4.75	78
023	Botetourt	27.8%	0.81	118		\$7.53	4.86	58	60.0%	4.67	88		3.44	116
025	Brunswick	46.5%	3.19	101		\$6.38	2.34	118	77.8%	7.04	23		4.19	103
027	Buchanan	42.5%	2.68	109		\$7.70	5.23	46	58.7%	4.49	99		4.13	106
029	Buckingham	53.8%	4.13	74		\$7.64	5.10	50	81.5%	7.53	15		5.59	34
031	Campbell	53.6%	4.09	77		\$7.69	5.21	47	71.1%	6.14	48		5.15	55
033	Caroline	44.3%	2.91	107		\$8.04	5.97	30	72.7%	6.36	40		5.08	59
035	Carroll	70.6%	6.26	8		\$6.69	3.02	111	63.6%	5.15	76		4.81	75
036	Charles City	58.3%	4.70	54		\$6.95	3.59	105	66.7%	5.56	61		4.61	84
037	Charlotte	36.4%	1.90	116		\$8.29	6.52	21	52.9%	3.73	110		4.05	108
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	53.9%	4.13	73		\$8.00	5.89	33	78.2%	7.09	20		5.70	28
043	Clarke	100.0%	10.00	1		\$7.15	4.03	84	25.0%	0.00	118		4.68	82
045	Craig	50.0%	3.64	91		\$7.00	3.70	99	N/A	N/A	N/A		3.67	114
047	Culpeper	39.3%	2.27	112		\$8.17	6.26	27	83.3%	7.78	9		5.44	37
049	Cumberland	66.7%	5.76	16		\$8.06	6.02	29	57.1%	4.29	102		5.35	40
051	Dickensen	55.6%	4.34	64		\$6.83	3.33	107	62.1%	4.94	83		4.20	101
053	Dinwiddie	68.8%	6.02	10		\$7.90	5.67	36	84.0%	7.87	8		6.52	9
057	Essex	50.0%	3.64	92		\$7.48	4.75	62	62.5%	5.00	81		4.46	93
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	48.7%	3.47	97		\$9.71	9.63	2	76.9%	6.92	28		6.67	5
061	Fauquier	62.5%	5.23	35		\$8.10	6.11	28	66.7%	5.56	62		5.63	31
063	Floyd	52.4%	3.94	85		\$7.72	5.27	44	66.7%	5.56	63		4.92	64
065	Fluvanna	53.8%	4.13	75		\$8.29	6.52	22	100.0%	10.00	2		6.88	3
067	Franklin County	52.9%	4.00	82		\$7.56	4.92	56	65.6%	5.41	71		4.78	77
069	Frederick	48.1%	3.40	99		\$8.42	6.81	14	77.1%	6.95	26		5.72	27
071	Giles	66.7%	5.76	17		\$7.45	4.68	66	66.7%	5.56	64		5.33	43
073	Gloucester	68.8%	6.02	11		\$7.61	5.03	51	72.7%	6.36	41		5.81	25
075	Goochland	38.9%	2.22	113		\$7.91	5.69	35	60.0%	4.67	89		4.19	102
077	Grayson	63.6%	5.37	31		\$6.55	2.71	113	72.7%	6.36	42		4.82	73

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

FIPS Code	Locality	Percent Em- ployed	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Percent Employed at 3 Months	Score from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
079	Greene	39.4%	2.29	111	\$7.87	5.60	38	38.5%	1.79	116	3.23	118
081	Greensville/Emporia	52.5%	3.95	84	\$7.35	4.46	70	55.6%	4.07	107	4.16	104
083	Halifax	45.9%	3.12	103	\$6.96	3.61	104	63.3%	5.10	78	3.94	109
085	Hanover	54.3%	4.19	71	\$8.69	7.40	9	83.3%	7.78	10	6.45	13
087	Henrico	55.6%	4.34	65	\$8.36	6.67	16	74.5%	6.59	34	5.87	22
089	Henry/Martinsville	55.0%	4.27	69	\$7.26	4.27	79	62.7%	5.02	80	4.52	89
091	Highland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
093	Isle of Wight	67.7%	5.89	14	\$7.43	4.64	67	62.0%	4.93	84	5.15	54
095	James City	73.8%	6.66	5	\$8.19	6.30	26	77.6%	7.01	25	6.66	7
097	King and Queen	66.7%	5.76	18	\$7.16	4.05	83	50.0%	3.33	112	4.38	96
099	King George	58.8%	4.76	51	\$8.33	6.61	19	69.2%	5.90	53	5.76	26
101	King William	45.5%	3.06	105	\$8.04	5.97	31	60.0%	4.67	90	4.57	87
103	Lancaster	52.9%	4.01	80	\$8.03	5.95	32	60.0%	4.67	91	4.88	68
105	Lee	63.9%	5.41	28	\$6.46	2.52	114	80.5%	7.40	17	5.11	57
107	Loudoun	63.4%	5.34	32	\$9.88	10.00	1	74.6%	6.62	33	7.32	1
109	Louisa	49.0%	3.51	95	\$8.90	7.86	8	66.7%	5.56	65	5.64	30
111	Lunenburg	21.4%	0.00	119	\$5.31	0.00	119	100.0%	10.00	3	3.33	117
113	Madison	53.6%	4.09	78	\$7.90	5.67	37	83.3%	7.78	11	5.85	23
115	Mathews	75.0%	6.82	3	\$6.41	2.41	116	100.0%	10.00	4	6.41	14
117	Mecklenburg	59.4%	4.83	50	\$7.02	3.74	98	60.3%	4.71	87	4.43	94
119	Middlesex	38.9%	2.22	114	\$8.34	6.63	18	60.0%	4.67	92	4.51	90
121	Montogomery	74.4%	6.75	4	\$7.53	4.86	59	64.2%	5.23	75	5.61	32
125	Nelson	65.0%	5.55	25	\$7.37	4.51	68	81.8%	7.58	14	5.88	21
127	New Kent	61.1%	5.05	41	\$8.66	7.33	11	77.8%	7.04	24	6.47	12
131	Northampton	55.2%	4.29	68	\$7.04	3.79	94	72.0%	6.27	46	4.78	76
133	Northumberland	35.3%	1.76	117	\$7.03	3.76	95	42.9%	2.38	115	2.64	119
135	Nottoway	61.4%	5.09	38	\$7.05	3.81	93	72.2%	6.30	43	5.07	60
137	Orange	52.8%	3.99	83	\$7.08	3.87	92	66.7%	5.56	66	4.47	92
139	Page	60.0%	4.91	45	\$7.60	5.01	53	61.9%	4.92	85	4.95	63
141	Patrick	61.0%	5.04	42	\$6.43	2.45	115	72.2%	6.30	44	4.59	85
143	Pittsylvania	52.2%	3.92	86	\$7.27	4.29	76	56.1%	4.15	104	4.12	107
145	Powhatan	55.6%	4.34	66	\$9.09	8.27	6	50.0%	3.33	113	5.32	45
147	Prince Edward	54.4%	4.20	70	\$7.24	4.22	80	80.4%	7.39	18	5.27	52
149	Prince George	55.7%	4.37	63	\$8.29	6.52	23	55.9%	4.12	106	5.00	62
153	Prince William	58.6%	4.73	53	\$9.71	9.63	3	72.8%	6.37	39	6.91	2
155	Pulaski	59.5%	4.85	49	\$7.13	3.98	87	56.1%	4.14	105	4.32	99
157	Rappahannock	60.0%	4.91	46	\$7.00	3.70	100	100.0%	10.00	5	6.20	17
159	Richmond County	52.9%	4.01	81	\$7.48	4.75	63	37.5%	1.67	117	3.48	115
161	Roanoke County	65.6%	5.63	23	\$7.61	5.03	52	62.9%	5.05	79	5.24	53
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	61.3%	5.07	39	\$7.52	4.84	60	60.0%	4.67	93	4.86	71
165	Rockingham/H'burg	81.4%	7.63	2	\$7.69	5.21	48	70.2%	6.03	51	6.29	15
167	Russell	62.6%	5.24	34	\$6.97	3.63	102	69.1%	5.88	55	4.92	66
169	Scott	60.8%	5.01	44	\$6.72	3.09	110	83.3%	7.78	12	5.29	50
171	Shenandoah	56.5%	4.47	59	\$7.19	4.11	82	66.7%	5.56	67	4.71	80

VIEW Rankings, Fiscal Year to Date, cont.

								-				
		D .	G			G		Percent	C.			
EIDC		Percent	Score		A	Score		Employed	Score		A	
FIPS Code	Locality	Em- ployed	from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Wage	from 0 to 10	Rank	at 3 Months	from 0 to 10	Rank	Average Score	Rank
173	Smyth	45.6%	3.08	104	\$6.65	2.93	112	78.1%	7.07	21	4.36	97
175	Southampton	43.9%	2.85	104	\$7.35	4.46	71	63.6%	5.15	77	4.16	105
177	Spotsylvania	69.0%	6.05	9	\$8.33	6.61	20	80.9%	7.45	16	6.70	4
179	Stafford Stafford	56.0%	4.39	60	\$8.36	6.67	17	83.2%	7.75	13	6.27	16
181	Surry	61.5%	5.10	37	\$7.92	5.71	34	91.7%	8.89	6	6.57	8
183	Sussex	66.0%	5.68	20	\$7.09	3.89	91	73.0%	6.40	38	5.32	44
185	Tazewell	65.6%	5.62	24	\$6.78	3.22	109	64.2%	5.23	74	4.69	81
187	Warren	52.2%	3.92	87	\$8.29	6.52	24	50.0%	3.33	114	4.59	86
191	Washington	68.5%	5.99	12	\$7.11	3.94	88	59.1%	4.55	96	4.83	72
191	Westmoreland	57.4%	4.58	57	\$8.48	6.94	13	58.6%	4.33	100	5.33	42
193	Wise	65.8%		22	\$7.00	3.70	101	64.6%	5.28	73	4.88	69
	Wythe		5.65									-
197	·	70.9%	6.29	7	\$6.86	3.39	106	75.5%	6.73	32	5.47	35
199	York/Poquoson	46.3%	3.17	102	\$7.24	4.22	81	73.9%	6.52	35	4.64	83
510	Alexandria	50.0%	3.64	93	\$9.22	8.56	5	79.4%	7.25	19	6.48	11
520	Bristol	49.3%	3.54	94	\$7.10	3.92	89	56.3%	4.17	103	3.88	111
540	Charlottesville	64.3%	5.46	27	\$8.21	6.35	25	70.8%	6.11	50	5.97	19
550	Chesapeake	63.7%	5.39	29	\$7.71	5.25	45	76.7%	6.90	29	5.84	24
590	Danville	44.6%	2.95	106	\$7.10	3.92	90	59.8%	4.64	95	3.84	112
620	Franklin City	57.1%	4.55	58	\$7.14	4.00	85	60.0%	4.67	94	4.41	95
630	Fredericksburg	67.2%	5.83	15	\$8.41	6.78	15	76.5%	6.87	30	6.49	10
640	Galax	51.9%	3.87	89	\$6.97	3.63	103	55.0%	4.00	108	3.83	113
650	Hampton	59.9%	4.89	47	\$7.47	4.73	64	72.2%	6.29	45	5.30	48
670	Hopewell	62.0%	5.16	36	\$7.51	4.81	61	77.9%	7.05	22	5.67	29
680	Lynchburg	59.7%	4.87	48	\$7.28	4.31	74	66.5%	5.53	69	4.90	67
683	Manassas	56.0%	4.39	61	\$8.99	8.05	7	68.3%	5.77	57	6.07	18
685	Manassas Park	54.2%	4.17	72	\$8.69	7.40	10	88.2%	8.43	7	6.66	6
700	Newport News	61.2%	5.07	40	\$7.57	4.95	55	69.1%	5.88	54	5.30	49
710	Norfolk	71.6%	6.39	6	\$7.28	4.31	75	77.1%	6.95	27	5.88	20
720	Norton	53.1%	4.03	79	\$6.81	3.28	108	58.8%	4.51	98	3.94	110
730	Petersburg	55.9%	4.39	62	\$7.60	5.01	54	73.9%	6.51	36	5.31	47
740	Portsmouth	63.7%	5.38	30	\$7.54	4.88	57	70.2%	6.02	52	5.43	38
750	Radford	68.4%	5.98	13	\$7.27	4.29	77	62.5%	5.00	82	5.09	58
760	Richmond City	48.8%	3.48	96	\$7.74	5.32	43	65.3%	5.38	72	4.73	79
770	Roanoke City	55.2%	4.30	67	\$7.78	5.40	41	61.6%	4.89	86	4.86	70
800	Suffolk	57.9%	4.64	56	\$7.27	4.29	78	58.9%	4.52	97	4.48	91
810	Virginia Beach	58.6%	4.73	52	\$7.87	5.60	39	67.0%	5.60	58	5.31	46
830	Williamsburg	64.5%	5.48	26	\$7.76	5.36	42	66.7%	5.56	68	5.47	36
840	Winchester	53.7%	4.11	76	\$7.65	5.12	49	71.1%	6.14	49	5.12	56

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores is done to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Rankings, From Inception of VIEW

This section lists the relative cumulative rankings of localities by Percent Enrolled who became Employed, Average Monthly Earnings, and Percent Employed Five Months after Initial Employment. Scores from 0 to 10 are also assigned and the scores averaged to calculate an overall rank.

FIPS		Percent Em-	Score from	ъ.	Average Monthly	Score from	ъ.	Percent Employed at 5	from	Devil	Average	.
Code	Locality	ployed	0 to 10		Earnings	0 to 10	Rank	Months	0 to 10	Rank	Score	Rank
	Accomack	54.4%	1.16	115	\$802	2.23	100	58.5%	7.00	29	3.46	109
	Albemarle	77.5%	7.77	6	\$977	5.81	18	54.4%	5.90	61	6.49	17
	Alleghany/Covington	73.3%	6.57	32	\$813	2.45	95	46.1%	3.73	101	4.25	87
	Amelia	63.8%	3.84	96	\$951	5.27	30	43.4%	3.03	114	4.05	91
	Amherst	69.5%	5.48	63	\$821	2.62	91	53.0%	5.55	71	4.55	78
011	Appomattox	72.5%	6.32	38	\$819	2.57	92	43.1%	2.94	115	3.94	95
	Arlington	74.6%	6.93	22	\$1,020	6.69	9	64.1%	8.46	8	7.36	6
015	Augusta/S'ton/W'boro	70.1%	5.63	56	\$932	4.89	37	53.2%	5.59	68	5.37	42
017	Bath	64.7%	4.11	88	\$732	0.78	117	55.0%	6.07	54	3.65	104
019	Bedford County/City	67.9%	5.01	77	\$806	2.30	98	55.3%	6.14	53	4.48	80
021	Bland	64.4%	4.01	89	\$806	2.30	99	45.2%	3.50	106	3.27	112
023	Botetourt	50.3%	0.00	120	\$901	4.25	55	44.8%	3.39	109	2.55	120
025	Brunswick	57.6%	2.08	114	\$798	2.13	103	56.8%	6.54	41	3.59	105
027	Buchanan	51.9%	0.45	117	\$791	1.99	107	54.0%	5.81	64	2.75	118
029	Buckingham	61.2%	3.09	104	\$905	4.34	53	51.6%	5.16	78	4.20	88
031	Campbell	69.6%	5.51	62	\$850	3.21	76	59.7%	7.30	23	5.34	44
033	Caroline	63.3%	3.70	99	\$934	4.94	35	41.4%	2.50	118	3.71	103
035	Carroll	67.8%	5.00	78	\$790	1.97	109	45.4%	3.54	102	3.50	107
036	Charles City	51.2%	0.25	118	\$885	3.93	62	58.8%	7.08	28	3.75	101
037	Charlotte	53.7%	0.95	116	\$862	3.46	69	44.9%	3.42	108	2.61	119
041	Chesterfield/Col Hgts	69.1%	5.35	67	\$992	6.12	16	60.9%	7.61	17	6.36	22
043	Clarke	63.5%	3.77	98	\$947	5.20	31	47.1%	3.98	98	4.32	85
045	Craig	76.9%	7.60	12	\$978	5.83	17	66.7%	9.14	3	7.52	5
047	Culpeper	66.9%	4.74	81	\$932	4.88	38	55.8%	6.27	49	5.30	45
049	Cumberland	60.7%	2.97	107	\$932	4.88	39	44.4%	3.29	112	3.71	102
051	Dickensen	60.7%	2.97	108	\$786	1.90	110	49.0%	4.48	93	3.11	114
053	Dinwiddie	71.3%	5.99	45	\$924	4.73	44	52.9%	5.51	72	5.41	41
057	Essex	68.6%	5.23	72	\$827	2.73	89	41.5%	2.52	116	3.49	108
059	Fairfax/Falls Church	70.9%	5.88	49	\$1,094	8.22	4	61.9%	7.89	12	7.33	7
	Fauquier	71.7%	6.10	41	\$974	5.75	20	63.0%	8.17	10	6.68	11
	Floyd	71.6%	6.09	42	\$891	4.05	59	45.3%	3.51	105	4.55	77
	Fluvanna	75.2%	7.12	20	\$1,078	7.89	5	49.2%	4.53	91	6.51	16
067	Franklin County	68.5%	5.18	73	\$923	4.70	45	50.7%	4.94	84	4.94	62
	Frederick	62.3%	3.42	100	\$952	5.29	29	55.5%	6.19	50	4.97	60
071	Giles	61.0%	3.04	106	\$911	4.45	51	49.2%	4.55	90	4.01	92
073	Gloucester	72.0%	6.20	40	\$823	2.64	90	56.4%	6.45	44	5.10	54
075	Goochland	69.0%	5.33	68	\$854	3.29	74	55.0%	6.07	55	4.90	63
077	Grayson	66.6%	4.64	82	\$816	2.51	93	57.5%	6.74	33	4.63	72

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

								Percent				
		Percent	Score		Average	Score		Employed	Score			
FIPS		Em-	from		Monthly	from		at 5	from		Average	
Code	Locality	ployed	0 to 10	Rank	Earnings	0 to 10	Rank	Months	0 to 10	Rank	Score	Rank
079	Greene	78.3%	7.99	5	\$916	4.55	49	50.0%	4.75	87	5.76	33
081	Greensville/Emporia	59.3%	2.56	112	\$800	2.17	102	57.4%	6.69	35	3.80	99
083	Halifax	61.4%	3.17	102	\$813	2.44	96	48.4%	4.32	96	3.31	111
085	Hanover	68.1%	5.07	76	\$1,050	7.31	7	66.1%	8.98	5	7.12	8
087	Henrico	71.4%	6.02	44	\$997	6.22	15	56.3%	6.41	46	6.22	24
089	Henry/Martinsville	66.3%	4.57	84	\$911	4.45	50	54.8%	6.02	57	5.01	58
091	Highland	71.4%	6.03	43	\$694	0.00	120	60.0%	7.39	21	4.47	81
093	Isle of Wight	73.8%	6.72	28	\$887	3.96	61	54.9%	6.05	56	5.58	39
095	James City	74.0%	6.76	24	\$856	3.32	73	52.3%	5.37	74	5.15	52
097	King and Queen	60.0%	2.76	110	\$959	5.45	26	34.9%	0.77	119	2.99	115
099	King George	71.2%	5.97	47	\$901	4.26	54	50.3%	4.84	86	5.03	57
101	King William	60.0%	2.76	111	\$971	5.68	22	45.4%	3.53	103	3.99	94
103	Lancaster	69.8%	5.56	59	\$816	2.50	94	47.0%	3.97	99	4.01	93
105	Lee	66.4%	4.59	83	\$745	1.05	116	59.7%	7.29	24	4.31	86
107	Loudoun	83.0%	9.34	2	\$1,141	9.18	2	66.3%	9.03	4	9.18	1
109	Louisa	66.0%	4.47	85	\$955	5.35	28	53.8%	5.75	66	5.19	49
111	Lunenburg	50.9%	0.17	119	\$732	0.78	118	60.5%	7.53	18	2.83	116
113	Madison	68.1%	5.07	75	\$975	5.78	19	45.1%	3.47	107	4.77	69
115	Mathews	64.0%	3.92	91	\$831	2.82	86	50.0%	4.75	88	3.83	97
117	Mecklenburg	70.8%	5.85	51	\$796	2.11	104	57.4%	6.71	34	4.89	65
119	Middlesex	70.8%	5.83	52	\$862	3.45	70	55.3%	6.15	52	5.14	53
121	Montgomery	82.1%	9.08	3	\$906	4.36	52	54.2%	5.86	62	6.43	18
125	Nelson	68.7%	5.25	70	\$1,004	6.36	14	54.0%	5.79	65	5.80	32
127	New Kent	69.1%	5.36	66	\$1,005	6.38	13	54.2%	5.85	63	5.86	30
131	Northampton	64.0%	3.89	93	\$786	1.90	111	65.7%	8.88	7	4.89	64
133	Northumberland	61.1%	3.09	105	\$830	2.80	87	41.5%	2.52	117	2.80	117
135	Nottoway	70.3%	5.69	55	\$859	3.38	72	55.4%	6.16	51	5.08	55
137	Orange	73.9%	6.73	25	\$932	4.90	36	44.2%	3.22	113	4.95	61
139	Page	76.3%	7.41	15	\$965	5.57	24	57.0%	6.59	39	6.52	15
141	Patrick	68.4%	5.17	74	\$790	1.97	108	65.9%	8.93	6	5.36	43
143	Pittsylvania	58.6%	2.37	113	\$844	3.08	80	52.1%	5.31	75	3.59	106
145	Powhatan	60.4%	2.87	109	\$860	3.42	71	51.2%	5.06	80	3.78	100
147	Prince Edward	76.8%	7.57	13	\$850	3.20	77	60.5%	7.51	19	6.09	26
149	Prince George	63.8%	3.85	95	\$971	5.69	21	50.9%	4.98	81	4.84	68
153	Prince William	76.2%	7.39	16	\$1,181	10.00	1	61.9%	7.89	13	8.43	2
155	Pulaski	67.5%	4.89	79	\$925	4.74	43	44.7%	3.37	111	4.33	83
157	Rappahannock	64.8%	4.14	87	\$935	4.95	34	44.8%	3.39	110	4.16	89
159	Richmond County	68.8%	5.28	69	\$927	4.80	41	31.9%	0.00	120	3.36	110
161	Roanoke County	77.3%	7.71	9	\$1,014	6.57	11	52.0%	5.29	76	6.52	14
163	Rockbridge/BV/Lex	77.5%	7.77	7	\$849	3.18	78	54.6%	5.98	59	5.64	36
165	Rockingham/H'burg	76.1%	7.35	17	\$922	4.67	46	59.3%	7.19	26	6.40	20
167	Russell	63.7%	3.82	97	\$827	2.74	88	59.3%	7.19	27	4.58	76
169	Scott	61.2%	3.11	103	\$846	3.13	79	63.7%	8.37	9	4.87	66
171	Shenandoah	69.1%	5.37	65	\$894	4.10	57	52.8%	5.50	73	4.99	59
1/1	zc.mana	07.1/0	3.31	0.5	ψ0,94	7.10	31	32.070	3.30	13	7.22	

VIEW Rankings, From Inception cont.

		1	ı			ı		Domoont	l I			-	
		Percent	Coore		A *vama aa	Score		Percent	Coore				
FIPS			Score		Average			Employed	Score from			A	
Code	T 11.	Em-	from	DI-	Monthly	from 0 to 10	DI-	at 5 Months	0 to 10	Rank	·	Average Score	D1-
	Locality	ployed	0 to 10	Rank	Earnings		Rank						Rank
173	Smyth	64.0%	3.90	92	\$795	2.08	105	53.2%	5.60	67		3.86	96
175	Southampton	61.8%	3.28	101	\$800	2.19	101	47.9%	4.20	97		3.22	113
177	Spotsylvania	73.9%	6.73	26	\$1,013	6.56	12	56.5%	6.45	43		6.58	13
179	Stafford	63.9%	3.88	94	\$1,112	8.59	3	56.9%	6.56	40		6.35	23
181	Surry	73.7%	6.68	29	\$844	3.08	81	50.4%	4.86	85		4.87	67
183	Sussex	69.7%	5.53	60	\$931	4.87	40	57.0%	6.61	38		5.67	34
185	Tazewell	69.8%	5.57	58	\$775	1.66	114	57.2%	6.65	37		4.62	73
187	Warren	71.3%	5.98	46	\$965	5.56	25	58.2%	6.92	30		6.15	25
191	Washington	69.6%	5.51	61	\$868	3.57	68	49.7%	4.67	89		4.58	75
193	Westmoreland	67.3%	4.85	80	\$884	3.91	63	48.7%	4.41	95		4.39	82
195	Wise	64.4%	4.01	90	\$765	1.47	115	57.6%	6.75	32		4.08	90
197	Wythe	69.2%	5.40	64	\$810	2.39	97	56.5%	6.47	42		4.76	70
199	York/Poquoson	65.4%	4.30	86	\$853	3.27	75	46.6%	3.85	100		3.80	98
510	Alexandria	72.1%	6.23	39	\$1,018	6.65	10	69.9%	10.00	1		7.63	4
520	Bristol	73.2%	6.53	34	\$833	2.86	83	48.8%	4.43	94		4.60	74
540	Charlottesville	85.3%	10.00	1	\$918	4.60	48	56.0%	6.32	47		6.97	10
550	Chesapeake	75.2%	7.12	19	\$889	4.00	60	55.9%	6.31	48		5.81	31
590	Danville	69.9%	5.60	57	\$781	1.80	112	53.0%	5.55	70		4.32	84
620	Franklin City	70.9%	5.89	48	\$778	1.73	113	54.5%	5.94	60		4.52	79
630	Fredericksburg	80.7%	8.67	4	\$970	5.67	23	53.1%	5.58	69		6.64	12
640	Galax	68.7%	5.25	71	\$831	2.82	85	60.1%	7.40	20		5.16	50
650	Hampton	73.2%	6.54	33	\$883	3.88	64	51.9%	5.24	77		5.22	47
670	Hopewell	72.8%	6.41	37	\$901	4.25	56	51.2%	5.08	79		5.24	46
680	Lynchburg	74.1%	6.80	23	\$795	2.08	106	62.5%	8.05	11		5.64	37
683	Manassas	70.8%	5.86	50	\$1,074	7.80	6	59.9%	7.35	22		7.00	9
685	Manassas Park	74.8%	6.99	21	\$1,049	7.28	8	69.8%	9.96	2		8.08	3
700	Newport News	75.7%	7.26	18	\$892	4.06	58	57.8%	6.82	31		6.04	27
710	Norfolk	73.4%	6.60	31	\$833	2.85	84	54.8%	6.00	58		5.15	51
720	Norton	77.2%	7.67	10	\$706	0.26	119	61.1%	7.67	15		5.20	48
730	Petersburg	73.6%	6.66	30	\$919	4.63	47	57.2%	6.66	36		5.98	28
740	Portsmouth	76.6%	7.52	14	\$877	3.77	67	61.6%	7.80	14		6.36	21
750	Radford	73.9%	6.72	27	\$881	3.83	65	45.3%	3.53	104		4.69	71
760	Richmond City	73.1%	6.51	35	\$941	5.07	32	60.9%	7.63	16		6.40	19
770	Roanoke City	70.3%	5.70	54	\$935	4.95	33	49.1%	4.51	92		5.05	56
800	Suffolk	77.4%	7.72	8	\$835	2.90	82	59.6%	7.28	25		5.97	29
810	Virginia Beach	70.4%	5.72	53	\$927	4.79	42	56.4%	6.45	45		5.65	35
830	Williamsburg	77.0%	7.61	11	\$878	3.78	66	50.8%	4.97	82		5.45	40
840	Winchester	72.8%	6.42	36	\$957	5.39	27	50.8%	4.96	83		5.59	38
0.40	,, arenester	12.070	0.72	50	Ψ/31	5.57	-,	30.070	1.70	0.5		5.57	- 50

The rankings are displayed to provide some indication of relative standing. They are not intended to represent scaled performance measures. Ties were resolved by carrying out the numbers to greater detail than displayed, and then if ties remained, FIPS code order was used. The calculation of scores was begun in May 1999 to make the determination of a combined rank more equitable. See the bottom of the endnotes on page 44 for an explanation of how the scores are calculated.

VIEW Timeliness Measures

State Summary July 1, 2008 – October 31, 2008

		ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED	ED
	State	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Timely Enrollment ¹¹																			
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	6,426	340	248	601	182	110	778	101	161	230	329	213	880	204	90	614	201	1,083	61
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	94%	92%	97%	98%	95%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	96%	94%	88%	97%	97%	88%	94%	93%
% Timely in October 2008	94%	90%	87%	94%	96%	93%	97%	95%	100%	97%	96%	100%	94%	96%	96%	98%	79%	94%	95%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12																			
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	1,580	81	65	146	45	21	188	27	43	62	73	55	222	51	21	146	41	280	13
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	96%	100%	99%	98%	95%	97%	93%	98%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%	100%	97%	98%	98%	100%
Percent in October 2008	97%	96%	100%	99%	98%	95%	97%	93%	98%	95%	97%	98%	96%	94%	100%	97%	98%	98%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14																			
# Over 30 Days	70	9	3	8	3	0	5	2	0	0	0	1	14	14	5	0	5	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	49	11	3	15	40	0	15	8	0	0	0	9	30	61	323	0	13	8	0

EDD1 - Southwest Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD1	Buchanan	Dickenson	Lee	Russell	Scott	Tazewell	Wise	Norton
Timely Enrollment 11					21000000	5000	1 60,0 % 610		
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	340	24	21	48	34	41	63	95	14
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	96%	100%	94%	100%	85%	92%	95%	86%
% Timely in October 2008	90%	100%	100%	87%	100%	69%	83%	97%	88%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	81	6	2	14	7	10	19	21	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	83%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
Percent in October 2008	96%	83%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14									
# Over 30 Days	9	0	0	2	0	5	0	1	1
Average # of Days Over 30	11	0	0	8	0	11	0	12	15

VIEW Timeliness Measures

EDD2 - Bristol-Galax Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD2	Bland	Carroll	Grayson	Smyth	Wash.	Wythe	Bristol	Galax
Timely Enrollment 11									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	248	8	19	0	46	46	24	75	30
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	92%	100%	95%	N/A	85%	98%	79%	97%	90%
% Timely in October 2008	87%	100%	89%	N/A	87%	92%	50%	96%	75%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	65	1	4	0	4	15	6	21	14
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14									
# Over 30 Days	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Average # of Days Over 30	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2

EDD3 - Roanoke Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD3	Alleghny/ Covington	Bote- tourt	Craig	Floyd	Franklin County	Giles	Mont- gomery	Pulaski	Roanoke County	Radford	Roanoke City
Timely Enrollment 11												
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	601	20	6	0	4	49	17	70	62	68	22	283
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	95%	100%	N/A	100%	92%	82%	97%	100%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in October 2008	94%	100%	N/A	N/A	100%	81%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	92%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12												
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	146	4	4	0	1	8	2	18	12	20	5	72
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Percent in October 2008	99%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14												
# Over 30 Days	8	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	0	4	40	0	0	0	0	8

VIEW Timeliness Measures

EDD4 - Harrisonburg Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD4	Aug/S'ton /W'boro	Bath	Highland	Rockbridge/ BV/Lex	Rockingham/ HBurg
Timely Enrollment 11						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	182	128	2	0	10	42
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	98%	98%	100%	N/A	100%	98%
% Timely in October 2008	96%	95%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	45	29	2	0	3	11
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	100%
Percent in October 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	67%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14						
# Over 30 Days	3	2	0	0	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	40	6	0	0	0	107

EDD5 & EDD18 - Winchester/Eastern Shore Regions: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD5	Clarke	Frederick	Page	Shen.	Warren	Winchester	Total EDD18	Accomack	Nhampton
Timely Enrollment 11										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	110	1	28	18	12	27	24	61	40	21
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	96%	100%	67%	100%	100%	93%	90%	100%
% Timely in October 2008	93%	N/A	100%	100%	60%	100%	100%	95%	92%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	21	1	6	0	0	5	9	13	9	4
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	83%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	95%	100%	83%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14										
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD6 - Northern Virginia Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD6	Arlington	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	Alexandria	Manassas	Manassas Park
Timely Enrollment 11								
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	778	65	242	68	274	82	38	9
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	99%	96%	92%	98%	95%	100%
% Timely in October 2008	97%	100%	99%	95%	95%	100%	80%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12								
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	188	16	65	21	56	14	13	3
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	97%	95%	96%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	97%	100%	97%	95%	96%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14								
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	4	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	15	0	0	0	19	0	1	0

EDD7 - Culpeper Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total					
	EDD7	Culpeper	Fauquier	Madison	Orange	Rapp.
Timely Enrollment 11						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	101	37	33	15	14	2
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	97%	93%	100%	50%
% Timely in October 2008	95%	100%	100%	83%	100%	0%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	27	14	10	0	2	1
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	93%	86%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	93%	86%	100%	N/A	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14						
# Over 30 Days	2	0	0	1	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	9	0	6

EDD8 - Charlottesville Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD8	Albemarle	Fluvanna	Greene	Louisa	Nelson	CVille
Timely Enrollment 11							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	161	38	5	14	19	6	79
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	97%	100%	86%	100%	100%	95%
% Timely in October 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12 Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	43	9	1	5	6	1	21
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008 Percent in October 2008	98%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14							
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD9 - Lynchburg Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD9	Amherst	Appom.	Bedford	Campbell	Lynchburg
Timely Enrollment 11						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	230	11	19	55	49	96
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	91%
% Timely in October 2008	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	62	2	9	12	7	32
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	71%	97%
Percent in October 2008	95%	100%	100%	100%	71%	97%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD10 - Danville Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD10	Halifax	Henry/ Martinsville	Patrick	Pittsylvania	Danville
Timely Enrollment 11						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	329	40	100	39	46	104
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	95%	93%	97%	100%	97%
% Timely in October 2008	96%	100%	90%	91%	100%	97%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	73	10	22	8	13	20
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	97%	100%	91%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14						
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD11 - Southside Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD11	Amelia	Bruns- wick	Bucking- ham	Charlotte	Cumber- land	Gr'nsville Emporia	Lunen- burg	Mecklen- burg	Notto- way	Pr. Edward
Timely Enrollment 11											
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	213	6	35	28	19	17	23	3	33	23	26
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	96%	83%	89%	96%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	100%	96%
% Timely in October 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12											
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	55	2	7	5	3	7	10	1	6	7	7
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%
Percent in October 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14											
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Average # of Days Over 30	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0

EDD12 - Richmond Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD12	Chesterfield/ Col Hgts	Goochland	Hanover	Henrico	Powhatan	Richmond City
Timely Enrollment 11							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	880	187	9	32	214	4	434
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	95%	56%	100%	89%	100%	96%
% Timely in October 2008	94%	94%	100%	100%	90%	100%	96%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	222	42	3	12	55	0	110
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	96%	95%	100%	83%	93%	N/A	100%
Percent in October 2008	96%	95%	100%	83%	93%	N/A	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14							
# Over 30 Days	14	5	0	0	8	0	1
Average # of Days Over 30	30	30	0	0	34	0	7

EDD13 - Fredericksburg Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD13	Caroline	King George	Spotsylvania	Stafford	Fredericksburg
Timely Enrollment 11						
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	204	33	7	57	60	47
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	88%	76%	100%	91%	83%	96%
% Timely in October 2008	96%	92%	N/A	100%	93%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12						
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	51	2	1	13	18	17
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	94%	100%	100%	92%	94%	94%
Percent in October 2008	94%	100%	100%	92%	94%	94%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14						
# Over 30 Days	14	10	0	0	4	0
Average # of Days Over 30	61	65	0	0	49	0

EDD14 - Northern Neck Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD14	Essex	King & Queen	King William	Lancaster	Mathews	Middlesex	North- umberland	Richmond County	West- moreland
Timely Enrollment 11										
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	90	24	6	10	4	2	12	7	8	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	96%	100%	100%	100%	50%	100%	100%	88%	100%
% Timely in October 2008	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12										
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	21	4	2	3	1	0	2	1	2	6
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14										
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	323	0	0	0	0	323	0	0	0	0

EDD15 - Peninsula Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD15	Charles City	Gloucester	James City	New Kent	York/Poq	Hampton	Newport News	Willmsburg
Timely Enrollment 11									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	614	4	19	21	6	17	189	341	17
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	97%	100%	79%	100%	100%	88%	98%	98%	100%
% Timely in October 2008	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	146	0	7	4	2	4	44	83	2
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	97%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%
Percent in October 2008	97%	N/A	86%	100%	100%	100%	98%	98%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14									
# Over 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

EDD16 - Petersburg Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD16	Dinwiddie	Prince George	Surry	Sussex	Hopewell	Petersburg
Timely Enrollment 11							
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	201	22	28	8	13	52	78
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	88%	100%	96%	88%	100%	87%	81%
% Timely in October 2008	79%	100%	91%	100%	100%	90%	57%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12							
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	41	7	4	0	4	14	12
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	92%
Percent in October 2008	98%	100%	100%	N/A	100%	100%	92%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14							
# Over 30 Days	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Average # of Days Over 30	13	0	0	0	0	0	13

EDD17 - Tidewater Region: July 1, 2008 - October 31, 2008

	Total EDD17	Isle of Wight	South- hampton	Chesapeake	Franklin City	Norfolk	Portsmouth	Suffolk	VA Beach
Timely Enrollment 11									
Total Due Since July 1, 2008	1,083	20	21	210	16	316	203	84	213
Cumulative % Timely Since July 1, 2008	94%	95%	100%	94%	100%	87%	98%	100%	96%
% Timely in October 2008	94%	100%	100%	92%	100%	90%	96%	100%	100%
Work Activity 95 Days after Referral 12									
Total Possible Since July 1, 2008	280	6	8	66	5	65	54	24	52
Cumulative % Since July 1, 2008	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
Percent in October 2008	98%	100%	100%	97%	100%	94%	100%	100%	100%
Status of Waiting List for Enrollment 14									
# Over 30 Days	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Average # of Days Over 30	8	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0

Child Support Enforcement

Child Support Enforcement - Statewide Totals

Collections

	Oct-07 Oct-08		% Change	
TANF Cases Collected	14,603	13,908	-4.8%	
NTANF Cases Collected	130,240	129,029	-0.9%	
Total Cases Collected	144,843	142,937	-1.3%	
TANF Collections	\$2,546,346	\$2,477,626	-2.7%	
NTANF Collections	\$48,163,269	\$47,317,786	-1.8%	
Total Collections	\$50,709,615	\$49,795,412	-1.8%	
SFY YTD Collections	\$195,310,162	\$209,837,158	7.4%	
SFY YTD Average Collection	\$347	\$363	4.6%	

Paternities Established

	Oct-08	
TANF Paternities	280	
NTANF Paternities	657	
Combined Paternities	937	

Diversionary Assistance

October Diversionary Assistance Cases

Locality	Number of Cases	Locality	Number of Cases
Albemarle	5	Orange	6
Alleghany / Covington	2	Page	2
Amelia	3	Patrick	5
Amherst	2	Pittsylvania	3
Augusta / Staunt'n / W'boro	12	Prince William	3
Bedford City	7	Roanoke County	8
Buckingham	5	Rockingham/ H'burg	17
Campbell	1	Shenandoah	6
Caroline	2	Smyth	1
Chesterfield/Colonial Hgts	26	Southampton	1
Clarke	2	Spotsylvania	9
Culpeper	1	Stafford	8
Dinwiddie	3	Warren	9
Fairfax/ Falls Church	2	Wythe	1
Fauquier	3	Bristol	9
Floyd	1	Charlottesville	4
Franklin County	7	Chesapeake	11
Frederick	11	Danville	1
Greene	6	Fredricksburg	6
Greens ville / Emporia	1	Galax	1
Halifax	1	Hampton	8
Hanover	2	Hopewell	4
Henrico	4	Manassas Park	2
Henry / Martins ville	12	Newport News	17
James City	2	Norfolk	33
Loudoun	2	Richmond City	7
Louisa	2	Roanoke City	23
Lunenburg	3	Suffolk	10
Mathews	1	Virginia Beach	8
Montgomery	4	Winchester	1
Nottoway	1	Statewide	360

VIEW Definition Sheet

Definitions of Selected Terms Contained in Report

Average Monthly Earnings. This is the average monthly earnings of the unsubsidized jobs that participants obtained. The value is calculated by multiplying the hourly wage by the weekly hours worked by 4.3 average weeks per month. For the cumulative figures the initial wage and initial hours are used. For the current figures, the initial wage and most current hours are used, except for the November 2001 report which used initial hours. Earlier than June 1999, wage changes that were entered overwrote the initial wage, so prior to that date, the cumulative figures used the initial hours and the last wage entered. With the advent of the VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) program in October 2006 the end of month average monthly earnings might be expected to decline somewhat because prior to VTP, cases with high earnings might remain open for job follow-up, but after the implementation of VTP the VIEW case would be closed when the TANF case was closed and a VTP case opened. VTP cases are not included in this report except for estimating the participation rate.

Average Wage. The average of the wages reported for each occurrence of unsubsidized employment. After June 1999 the wage is the wage at hiring, that is, the initial wage. Prior to June 1999, that wage may have been updated if changed during employment. After the implementation of the VIEW Transitional Payment program the end of month point in time average wage might be expected to decline some for the same reason mentioned in the explanation of possible decline in Average Monthly earnings, above. See note 6 on page 44.

Cases Closed with Employment. These are TANF or TANF-UP cases that are closed with an indication in the data base of employment at the date of closure. It does not mean that the case necessarily closed because of employment. For example, a TANF case may have an indication of closing at the client's request, along with indication of existing employment in VIEW. That case is counted as closed with employment.

EDD. This stands for Economic Development District. EDDs were developed during the administration of Governor Allen. They generally are not longer in use. This report continues to refer to them because VIEW was phased in by EDD and their use provides consistency over time.

Enrolled. Unduplicated number by locality of TANF recipients who were interviewed, received an individual assessment, signed the Agreement of Personal Responsibility, and enrolled in the VIEW program. Because the count is by locality, a recipient may be counted once in more than one locality. The fiscal year to date numbers include those who were enrolled at the beginning of the fiscal year as well as those enrolled during the year. There are clients enrolled in VIEW who have had their TANF case closed because VIEW policy requires that the enrollment remain open for job follow-up after employment unless the employment meets federal work participation guidelines, in which case the VIEW case is closed and a VIEW Transitional Payment (VTP) case is opened.

Full Time Employment. Employment at 30 hours a week or greater.

Participants Assigned to Inactive. Inactive is a status assigned to participants who cannot participate in the VIEW program for reasons other than being exempt. Examples are unavailability of child care, unavailability of transportation, and mental or medical problems that make participation impractical. Inactive participants are due to be reviewed each month. While in inactive status, the 24 month time limit for TANF benefits is suspended.

Participants Employed. Total number of participants by locality who ever obtained an unsubsidized job, either full time or part time. If employed more than one time, they are still only counted once, but may be counted in more than one locality. Also, these participants may have left their job and not gotten another one. For the year to date numbers, those employed at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first became employed in the fiscal year.

Participants in a Work Activity. Unduplicated count by locality of persons who have been in any of the following components: unsubsidized employment, full employment program (FEP), on-the-job training (OJT), community work experience program (CWEP), public service program (PSP), vocational education and training, and job search/job readiness. For example, a person who was in community work experience and then employed would be counted only once.

VIEW Definition Sheet Continued

For the year to date numbers, those in a work activity at the beginning of the fiscal year are included as well as those who first entered a work activity in the fiscal year. Note that this is a revised definition of work activity from reports through October 2006. The revised definition captures the activities that count toward the first 20 hours of federal participation requirements – the core work activities. Clients who are counted as being in a core work activity must meet additional participation rate requirements in order to be included in the work participation rate, and only VIEW clients are counted here, not all work eligible TANF clients **Thus, these percentages in a work activity are quite different from the percentages in the TANF work participation rate.**

Part Time Employment. Employment at fewer than 30 weekly hours.

Referred. Unduplicated number of TANF recipients by locality who were initially evaluated as eligible for VIEW and had their names referred to the automated VIEW list for participation.

Relationship Between TANF and VIEW Participation. On the chart at page 5a, the column heading "Total Adults in the Case" includes adults who are not considered to be part of the assistance unit. For example, a mother receiving SSI may be in the case, but because she receives SSI is not considered eligible for TANF and thus is not part of the assistance unit. Persons such as she who are not in the assistance unit are not eligible to participate in VIEW. Also included are adults who are part of the assistance unit but exempt from VIEW

Five Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 150 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 150 days after their first employment are counted in this measure.

Three Months Employment. This represents the percent of all participants that were employed 90 days after their first employment. Participants who are employed in any job 90 days after their first employment are counted in this measure. For year to date percents, the calculations are based on the first employment that began one year before the end of the report month. That employment may not have been the first ever for the participant. The year to date percents were calculated differently in reports before September 2004. Also for year to date percents, transferred cases were not counted for months after the January 2002 report.

TANF-UP Payment Cases. Up to November 2003 these counts were taken from a monthly report with no adjustment. After that, the monthly counts included some cases that were being paid because child support payments had been received and were being passed on. These counts were adjusted to mostly eliminate those additional cases. Further adjustment may be appropriate. It should also be noted that the counts include both strictly TANF cases and MOE cases for some years. With the end of waiver provisions in July 2003, a substantial part of the caseload was placed in a state program and funded with MOE funds. This change in July 2003 was a change in funding, not a change in eligibility requirements or case payment amounts. It ended in September 2006 when VIEW transitional payments (VTP) were introduced. Adjustments have been made to take out VTP cases. Also, TANF-UP cases are currently funded with only state monies.

VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP). Beginning in October 2006, VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) were introduced. These are payments of \$50 per client per month for one year to VIEW clients that leave "regular" TANF with employment sufficient to meet Federal work participation requirements. The employment must be maintained to be eligible for VTP. Previously, VTP clients normally would have remained VIEW clients for five or six months of job-follow-up. Thus, the VTP program has had a diminishing effect on some of the statistics in this report such as end of month percent employed and average wage. See graph on page 5a.

Time That Data Represent. The data in the top sections of page 4 and pages 8 - 24 are point in time data as of the date indicated. The year to date section represents state fiscal year to date data, with the exception of three month retention (see above). The bottom section is cumulative from when the locality began VIEW.

General Statement About Accuracy. The report is based on an extract from the Employment Services automated system. The extract is normally made the night of the first work day after the end of the report month. Data that staff of local social services agencies enter into the automated system after the time of the extract are not included in the report, even though the activity reflected actually occurred in the report month. However, that data, and the activity reflected, are included in the reports for subsequent months. Further, because the data are entered by staff in the 120 localities, the accuracy of the data in the data base is, of course, subject to inadvertent error.


- ¹Applications are not necessarily acted upon in the month that they are received. Also, applications may be transferred from regular TANF to TANF-UP and vice versa as they are processed.
- ² These TANF cases paid counts are prior to possible adjustment. See definition of payment cases. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes.
- ³ VIEW enrolled counts do not include VTP clients
- ⁴ A case may be open in more than one locality during the time period.
- ⁵ Prior to June 1999, when individuals were transferred from one locality to another, their history was transferred as well. This may have an effect on a locality's cumulative VIEW statistics.
- ⁶ The minimum wage rose from \$5.85 to \$6.55 an hour on 7/24/08. The report reflects initial wages so any effect on wages in the report has been delayed. The average wage includes full and part time, unless otherwise indicated. See the definition of average wage on page 42.
- ⁷ Includes full and part time earnings.
- ⁸ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed three months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed three months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous. See definition on page 43 for the revised method of calculating the year to date percentages.
- ⁹ This represents the percent of all participants that were first employed five months or more before the end of the report month and who were employed five months after the date of that employment. Employment may or may not have been continuous.
- ¹⁰ Number includes TANF-UP and represents cases carried over to the next month. Note that a VIEW case may remain open for job follow-up after the corresponding TANF case closes, but with the advent of VIEW Transitional Payments (VTP) such occurrences were reduced from earlier months.
- ¹¹ Enrollment is timely if done within 30 days of referral in previous month of the state fiscal year.
- ¹² Placement in a work activity on the 95th day after referral was a measure of timeliness before Oct 1, 2006. The revised definition of work activity has increased the percentage from reports before November 2006. Clients whose cases have closed before the 95th day are excluded, so 100% is an attainable mark
- ¹⁴ As of the morning of November 1, 2008. Some referrals to the waiting list are not appropriate, and there may be considerable lag time in correcting them..

This report is available on the Department of Social Services web site: www.dss.virginia.gov. On the site, click on Reports to the left and then scroll to TANF at the bottom of the Reports page.

The scores in the VIEW Rankings are determined by placing a locality on a scale of zero to ten in the same relative position as its place in the difference between the high and low of the measure in question. This converts all measures to a similar scale and provides a more equitable way to combine the ratings in each measure to determine a combined rank. (Questions, please call 804 726-7618.)

Comments on the format or content of the report may be sent by e-mail to: william.mcmakin@dss.virginia.gov.


TANF and TANF-UP Cases Paid at Six Month Intervals, except last month June 1995 through October 2008



This graph illustrates the dramatic decline of the TANF caseload as VIEW was implemented. There was some sign of slowing in the rate of decline after June 1998. Nevertheless, wide community involvement, a strong economy, and the effect of the 24 month rule allowed the caseload to continue to fall until it was near the 30,000 level. There was little net change in the caseload from June 2000 to June 2002, but some upward movement was seen after June 2002, until 2005. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

Applications Received with Approval Percentage


Six Month Asymmetrical Moving Averages by Quarter, July 1995 through October 2008



This graph of application activity is presented as six month moving averages to produce smoother lines from which trends may be seen and in recognition that applications are not always acted on in the month received. The trends are not dramatic, but there seems to have been a general decline in the number of applications received until the July 2001 data. The decline in applications received after December 2004 and the very recent increase appear to be reflected in the case load trends. Information for this graph was taken from the Case Activity tables on page one of this report and reports of previous months.

TANF Cases, VIEW Enrolled, and Employed VIEW Participants


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the number enrolled in VIEW increased during early implementation and then generally tracked the number of cases, until the very recent effect of reduced exemptions due to TANF reauthorization. A VIEW case may remain open for five to six months or more after the TANF case closes, if job follow-up is being done and the case has not been converted to the VIEW Transitional Payment program. It is unlikely that the number employed will ever equal the number enrolled because there are always new enrollees without employment, and there are some enrollees in work activities, other than employment, that meet VIEW requirements. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months. It includes TANF-UP

Chart Displaying the Relationships Between TANF and VIEW Participation


Oct 31, 2008



This chart illustrates that there are a large number of adults who are exempt from VIEW and that many of the VIEW enrollees have had their TANF cases closed. They are now in a job follow-up phase of VIEW. They have not had their VIEW case closed and become enrolled in the VIEW Transitional Payment program. The source of this information is a monthly TANF extract from ADAPT and the monthly extract from ESPAS, the VIEW automated data system. The extracts were made at the beginning of November and represent end of October data. TANF-UP is included.

VIEW Percent Total Employed and Average Wage at End of Month


Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph shows that the average wage has seen a general increase as the percent of VIEW employed initially increased and then leveled. Besides employment, VIEW participants may be in various other activities or initial assessment and job search. It is unlikely that the percent employed will rise much further. The wages shown are generally the initial wages. When the average wage was calculated at about the end of October, it was \$7.84 rather than the \$7.66 initial wages used to calculate wages shown on this graph. See page 42 of the report for an explanation of why the VIEW average wage might decline after October 2006 and note 6 on page 44 for the effect of the increase in minimum wage. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.

Percent of Jobs Found During a Month That Were Full Time and Percent Part Time

Beginning in October 1997, the Month of VIEW Implementation Statewide Asymmetrical Three Month Moving Averages. October is the last month



This graph illustrates an initial trend of VIEW participants obtaining a greater percent of full time jobs than part time. After the initial months, the trend seems to be fairly level with an increase in the percent full time after TANF reauthorization which probably reflects increased emphasis on meeting the required TANF work participation rate. No reason is known for the apparent recent slight reversal of that trend. Information for this graph was taken from the Statewide Work Activity tables on page four of this report and reports of previous months.